DETAILED PROJECT REPORT OF WDC-PMKSY 2.0 KARBI ANGLONG-WDC-2 /2021-2022 (KANTILANGSO) WDC-PMKSY-2.0



Submitted by

Divisional Soil Conservation Officer; Diphu Karbi Anglong & Project Implementing Agency

PREFACE

The detailed project report for Karbi Anglong-WDC-2/2021-22 (Kantilangso) has been prepared with an objective to optimally harness the natural resources available in order to achieve sustainable development in the region and to meet the objective of rejuvenation of spring in the project areas.

Emphasis has been laid on environmental management practices (EMPs) an potential tools for successful watershed management keeping in view the vulnerability of the natural elements subjected to major changes. Traditional resources management practices amalgamated with the natural understanding of soil science and hydro-meteorology have been applied in order to achieve the objectives of integrated watershed management programme. The activities undertaken for development of rainfed and degraded land under WDC-PMKSY would essentially be suitable to revive springs and underground flows and ensure sustainable and efficient use of augmented water..

The planning process has been participatory in nature. The active participation of the rural inhabitants within the project area and proper guidance of the PIA has been reflected in the DPRs.

The staff of our soil conservation department with their profound experience in executing development projects of similar nature has been the guiding force in the entire process of DPR preparation.

The Project Manager, WCDC, WDC-PMKSY 2.0 cum Additional Director(Hills) Karbi Anglong and PIA cum Divisional Officer, Diphu Soil Conservation Division, Diphu acknowledges the effort to the WDT Leader cum Range Officer Samelangso, JE of the Division,Staff of Samelangso Soil Conservation Range, Accountant under WCDC, WDC-PMKSY 2.0, Karbi Anglong & Dealing Assistant WDC- PMKSY 2.0 for their support and hard work. They have provided for successful completion of the Detailed Project Report.

PIA cum Divisional Officer, Diphu Soil Conservation Division, Diphu Karbi Anglong The Project Manager, WCDC, Karbi Anglong & Additional Director of Soil Conservation (Hills), Karbi Anglong, Diphu

DETAILED PROJECT REPORT OF WDC-PMKSY 2.0 Karbi Anglong-WDC-2/2021-2022 (Kantilangso) WDC-PMKSY 2.0

Micro Watershed

: Bajin Tokbi, Jeng Ronghang, Mekwe Engleng, Kabuli Ronghang, Kania Bey

Micro Watershed Code No :	
Bajin Tokbi	3B2B2j3a
Jeng Ronghang	3B2B2k1a
Mekve Engleng	3B2B2k2a
Kabuli Ronghang	3B2B2j1e
Kania Bey	3B2B2j1d

IWMP Project	: Karbi Anglong-WDC-2/2021-22 (Kantilangso) WDC-PMKSY 2.0
Block	: Samelangso Development Block.
District	: Karbi Anglong
Name of the PIA	: Sangpi Terangpi, Divisional Soil Conservation Officer, Diphu Soil Conservation Division, Diphu. Karbi Anglong, Assam.

Contents

Executive Summary	
CHAPTER 1	
Introduction and Background	35
II). PROFILE OF THE WATERSHED PROJECT	
Table No.1.1 Project at a Glance	
Table No. 1.2 Need and Scope for Watershed Development	
Table no.1.3: Watershed information	
Table No.1.4: Status of other development project in the area	
Table No. 1.5: Status of previous watershed programme	
	45
CHAPTER 2	
Table 2.1: Location	45 مە
Details of the types of areas covered under the project	
Table No: 2.2 Land Details	
Table No. 2.3: Details of the types of areas covered under the project	
Table No. 2.4: Details of Agro-climatic condition	
Table No. 2.5 Details of flood and drought in the project area	
Table No. 2.6: Details of soil erosion in the project area	
Table No. 2.7 Details of the Soil pH	
Table No.2.7.1 Climatic Condition	
Table No2.8 Physiographic Features	59
Table No. 2.9 Watershed characteristics	61
BASE LINE INFORMATION OF WATERSHED	
Table No. 3.1: Demographic features	60
Table No. 3.2: Livestock details:	62
Table No.3.3:Socio- economic status:	62
	C A
Table No. 3.4: Migration Details:	
Table No. 3.5: Details of Community Based Organizations existing in the watershed village:	64
Table No. 3.6: Infrastructure Facilities	66
Table No.3.7 Land use pattern (in Hectares)	67
Table No. 2.9. Details of Common Drow with Decourses	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Table No. 3.8: Details of Common Property Resources	

Table No. 3.9: Agriculture implements:	69
Table No. 3.10: Crop Classification	67
Table No. 3.11: Crops & Cropping pattern:	69
Table No. 3.12: Land capability Classification	70
Table No.3.13: Irrigation facilities	71
Table No. 3.14: Status of water table:	72
Table No. 3.15: Assessment of drinking water facility*:	73
Table No. 3.16: Surface water resources	70
Table No. 3.17 Ground Water Structures to be repaired.	71
Table No. 3.18: Existing Water Saving Practices	74
Table No. 3.19: Details of existing livelihoods	78
Table No. 3.20: Existing functional assets (Works already completed under different schemes including works	
undertaken by farmers independently)	79
Table No.3.21 PROBLEM TYPOLOGY OF THE WATERSHED	85
CHAPTER – 4	86
Institutional Building and Project Management	86
Table No. 4.1 Details of SHGs & UGs newly formed under IWMP	87
Table No. 4.2: Details of Watershed Committees (WC)	89
Table No 4.3: WDT Particulars	91
Table No. 4.4: PIA particulars	83
Table No. 4.5 Bank Account Details	84
Institutional Mechanisms: (Enclose the following documents)	85
Documents of Agreements:	88
Table No. 4.8 Convergence plan with IWMP	92
CHAPTER – 5	100
Management/Action Plan	101
Description on methodology of plan adopted	93

Details of Natural Resource Management Activities Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table No. 5.2.1 Soil and Moisture Conservation structures Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table No. 5.2.2 Water Harvesting Structures Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table No. 5.2.2 Vegetative Covers 105
: Structure or Activity Wise Details of Engineering Structure and VegetativeMeasures119
Table No.5.3.1 : Engineering structures for Soil Conservation Measures (SMC)
5.3.2: Details of engineering structures for Water Harvesting WHS
Table No. 5.3.3: Details of activities connected with vegetative cover in watershed works*
Chapter 6

Capacity Building Plan111
Table No. 6.1 Details of Capacity Building 112
Chapter 7113
Phasing of Programme and Budgeting113
Table No. 7.1: Phasing of the action plan 113
Table No. 7.2 Estimated Benefit Cost Ratio 120
Chapter 8
Consolidation and completion of various works
Table No. 8.1: Consolidation of Action Plan 122
CHAPTER – 9
EXPECTED OUTCOMES
Describe in detail the "Expected Outcomes"
Table No. 9.2: Summarize in the table given below (Quantifiable indicators)124
Table No.9.3: Backward and Forward Linkages 125
SDG Format126List of Maps to be enclosed along with DPR.129
Springshed Discharge information

Overall of the Project Area



Overall of the Project Area



when the a stand of the

Latitude: 26.266252 Longitude: 93.066588 Elevation: 127.24±5 m Accuracy: 4.0 m Time: 26-03-2022 16:18 Note: Nala bundh .Nopakghat

And What

1- 100



Executive Summary

• Brief about Project area :

The project area, Karbi Anglong-WDC-2/2021-22(Kantilangso) of WDC-PMKSY 2.0 is located under Samelangso Development Block in Karbi Anglong District of state Assam. The total geographical area of the watershed is about 4700.00 Ha. of which 3300.00 Ha. has been undertaken to be treated under Watershed Development Component – Pradhan Mantri Kishan Sinchai Yojna 2.0 (WDC-PMKSY 2.0) starting year 2021-22. The watershed includes 22 (Twenty Two) villages are the primary inhabitants of the village. The livelihood of these people is primarily based on rainfed agriculture, daily wage labour etc.

A considerable part of the Kantilangso Project area faces occasional drought upto four months. This has resulted in Low Productivity of Agriculture Land, cute scarcity of water for drinking and for used in Agriculture.Heavy and intense rainfall with increased surface run- off during monsoons leading to Soil erosion and siltation of Water bodies downstream. The project area practiced Jhoom or Slash & Burn cultivation which results in land degradation and also reduce rain water recharge affecting sustainability of Springs. Seasonal and overall decline discharge in springs has affected both domestic water availability in project villages and Agricultural productivity. Thus, decline of the Springs and groundwater system threaten water security of the Hill population and the entire project area.

The agricultural productivity of the area has been adversely affected by the drought and degraded Land. The inhabitants who are mostly dependent on agricultural. Watershed development works subjected to the mitigation of drought Revival of seasonal Springs, measures for productivity enhancements and generation of alternative livelihoods will alleviate the poverty that exits in the villagers in the Watershed area.

• Institutional arrangements :

The Government initiatives in investment for development of common property resources creates a healthy environment for private investment with investment support by financial institute for activities of economic and environmental sustainability such as plantation, food processing, handloom, fishery, agriculture etc. Subsidies are also available under various national schemes and missions including financial support for development of human resources, Institutional finance may also be available through SHG financed by the Commercial banks. However, in view of the fact that the credit worthinessof the villagers cannot be ascertained at this stage, Institutional Finance has not been considered for the investment plan so suggested.

Executive Summary

- Brief about project area
- Institutional arrangements
- Salient Project activities
- Physical target and financial outlays
- Treatment area and details
- Fact sheet about bench mark indicators and action plan a glance.

CHAPTER 1

Introduction and Background

INTRODUCTION

- Name of the State : Assam
 Name of the District : Karbi Anglong
 Names of the Blocks : Samelangso Development Block
 Name of the project : Karbi Anglong-WDC-2/2021-22(Kantilangso) WDC-PMKSY-2.0
 Financial Year of sanction : 2021-22
- Project duration : From......2021 to 2026
- Map of the project area showing village boundaries, contours and drainage.





Photograph of PRA Exercises (Bajin Tokbi MWS)



Photograph of PRA Exercises(Jeng Ronghang MWS)





Photograph of PRA Exercises (Mekve Engleng MWS)





Photograph of PRA Exercises(Kabuli Ronghang MWS)



Photograph of PRA Exercises(Kania Bey MWS)

Maps of village drawn by villagers during PRA Exercises































• Salient Project activities :

Based on the context, secondary data, baseline data, PRA exercises and net planning etc.; activities under Entry Point Activity (EPA) are taken to meet the objectives of rejuvenation oh springs .Water Tanks at Bajin Tokbi, Chakra Bey, Jonasing Killing, Mekwe Engleng villages. which would cost 2% of the whole budget.

Other major activities are Soil and Moisture Conservation structures like Agril Bund, Earthen Channel, Nala Bund, Percolation Tank, Terracing etc. Water Harvesting Structures like Brick Canal, , Drainage Channel, Pond, RCC Check Dam, Safe Disposal Unit etc. Vegetative Covers like, Horticulture Plantation, Cash Crop plantation etc. Crop production and value addition, micro irrigation development and microenterprise development etc.

For rejuvenation of Spring in the Project area activities like Percolation Tank, Water Reservoir, Staggered Trenching, Terracing Vegetative Covers likes Broom Plantation, Bamboo Plantation, cash crop etc. are incorporated.

A comprehensive training and capacity building plan for all sectors micro enterprise, Broom making Unit, Mushroom Production Unit, crop production, ridge line treatment ,cash crop development,handloom,fishery etc. covering all families (with overlaps) would be capacitated during the project period . The entire proposed plans would be implemented by Watershed Committee in close coordination with SHGs and UGs under the facilitation of PIA.

Administrative Overhead (Management Cost):

This Administrative Overhead is the integral part of the Project. To overcome all problems as well as smooth functioning of the project, the item is major head and essential also. It includes (i) the cost of stationery items like paper, pencil, ink and other accessories. (ii) Computer & Printer accessories , (iii) Cost for T.A. for the smooth running in the project area (iv) Fuel/PoL cost.

Another Major Cost of the administrative overhead is cost of Salary i.e. salary for all contractual staff – Computer Assistant, WDT Technical, Village level worker, salary for President & Secretary of Micro Watershed Committee.

• Capacity Building Strategy

Capacity building support is a crucial component in achieving desired results from watershed development projects. Programme Guidelines broadly define the contours of capacity building strategy for watershed development projects in the country.

The DoLR and NLNA may use the services of NRAA as *knowledge partner* for capacity building activities. NRAA will have an MoU with DoLR for undertaking activities enumerated under para 24.2 and 25 as described in Guideline. NRAA could help developing operational strategies for capacity building for States/UTs in consultation with SLNA and other relevant organizations

Key Elements of Capacity Building Strategy:

NRAA will collaborate with reputed national resource organizations for developing National and State/UT specificcapacity building strategies. Following may be the key components of capacity building strategies:

- a) Dedicated and decentralized institutional support and delivery mechanism.
- b) Annual Action Plan for capacity building.
- c) Pool of resource persons.
- d) Well prepared training modules and text materials.
- e) Mechanism for effective monitoring and follow-up.
- f) E-resources and self-learning modules in the web.

Preparatory phase :: Entry Point Activities

The Entry Point Activities (E.P.A.) is perceived as the focal point of all micro-watershed region which aims to promote sustainable growth and development. it also forms the focal point in promoting awareness to technology, information and better environment more specifically to emerging trends in land-water management. The activities are considered on a long term economic sustainability through revenue generation. The Entry Point Activities was selected in Gram Sabha through Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA) and to meet the objective of rejuvenation of springs, it is implemented by Project Implementing Agency (PIA). The Action Plan of E.P.A. have been already prepared and mentioned as following below:

Cl					Target		Family
51. N	Name of	MWS	Loca	GPS	Physical (in	Financia	Benefit
	Work		tion	POINT	No./Ha.)	l (Rs. in	ted in
1	2		4			Lakhj	Nos.
1	Z	3	4	5	6	1	8
1	Water Tank	Bajin Tokbi	Bajin Tokbi	26.27.4373 93.08.3225	1 No.	4.00	
2	Water Tank		Chakra Bey	26.27.4421 93.08.314	1 No.	3.48	
3	Water Tank	Jeng Ronghang	Jonasing Killing	26.27.5325 93.09.6336	1 No.	3.50	
4	Water Tank	Mekve Engleng	Mekve Engleng	26.26.1966 93.06.8499	1 No.	4.00	
5	Water Tank		Jeng Rongpi	26.26.9414 93.06.8836	1 No.	3.50	
			Total	-	5 Nos.	18.48	

WATERSHED WORK PHASE :

1. Natural Resource Management (NRM) :

Watershed Development Program has emerged as a major platform for participatory community based natural resource management. The Stream Kantilangso have significant impact on the human activities of the Kantilangso Watershed starting with Stream Erosion, Drought, Scarcity of water for Drinking and agriculture etc. Major problems faced by the villagers of the watershed are –

- 1. Drying up of perennial and seasonal Springs.
- 2. Stream Bank Erosion/surface run-off during monsoons
- 3. Siltation of water bodies downstream.
- 4. Low Agricultural productivity
- 5. Low upliftment of socio-economic condition
- 6. Lack of Irrigation Facility
- 7. Deforestation
- 8. **Degeneration of Natural Water Bodies**
- 9. Drought situation during winter season
- 10. Unemployment
- 11. Lack of safe Drinking water facility
- 12. Lack of proper Electricity
- 13. Poor road communication
- 14. Seasonal water logged.

With a broad objective considering the above problems, the proposed plan is to lead the way to an approach to build a large scale people's initiative towards managing water, land and biomass resources, enhancing the productivity of these resources and to promote an equitable distribution of their benefits. The main focus of this approach is to develop a sustainable rainfed farming systems on the foundation of a sound soil and water conservation effort. The following are the activities identified through conducting participatory rural appraisal survey in the villages of Karbi Anglong-WDC-2/2021 22(Kantilangso).WDC-PMKSY 2.0.

- 1. **RCC Check dams**
- 2. pond
- 3. Horticulture Development
- 4. Earthen Drainage Channel
- 5. 6. Agri Bund
- **Brick Channel**
- 7. Cash crop Development
- 8. **Road side Plantation**
- 9. **Roadside Plantation**
- 10. Safe Disposal Unit
- 11. **Bamboo Plantation**
- 12. **Broom Cultivation**
- 13. **Ginger Cultivation**

Photographs of Base Line Survey before Implementation of NRM works



Photographs of Base Line Survey before Implementation of NRM works



2. Livelihood Activities for Asset less poor :

Livelihood comprises the capabilities, asset and activities required for means of living and educated stock and flow of food & cash. To meet the basic needs. In order to strengthen the income generating sources for the asset less persons, both women & men, the following activities are proposed. Moreover, appropriate technologist which are relevant to the local agro-eco system, technology transfer, skill building, credit access and assured forward linkage with the market are all mandatory for the sustainability of an enterprise which are proposed for asset less persons. Considering agro-ecological condition of the watershed the following activities are identified through Participatory Rural Appraisal and survey conducted in the villages in the watershed.

- 1. Handloom
- 2. Bicycle Repairing
- **3**. Fruit Processing Unit
- 4. Weaving
- 5. Mushroom Production & Processing Unit
- 6. Ginger Cultivation
- 7. Broom Making Unit

Production System & Micro-Enterprises :

Considering the agro-ecological as well as socio-economic conditions of the watershed, the following activities are proposed through the observations made and recorded during the field visits as well as by PRA survey. In view of the physical as well as socio-economic settings, the production techniques and technologies, theproducts, quality of raw material and market availability. The following activities are identified for allied and livelihood activities for farmers by conducting PRA in the village of the watershed.

- **1**. Broom Plantation
- **2**. Bamboo Plantation
- **3**. Pineapple
- 4. Arecanut
- 5. Horticulture Development
- 6. Fishery Development.
- 7. Cash crop development.
Natural Resources Management and Governance Plans

These plans will have three parts as discussed below:

a) Maintenance of natural resources related assets

Natural resources related physical works need maintenance, and the bio- works such as plantation require strong protection measures and care. The watershed committee responsible for undertaking treatment works and asset creation should maintain a Watershed Assets Register, and the list of completed works recorded and updated continuously. The completed assets should be transferred to the Gram Panchayat for their continued maintenance at the end of each year of implementation.

A system of annual audit of natural resource assets should be taken up by the GP to assess their status and maintenance needs. These can be integrated into the MGNREGS by a resolution of the Gram Panchayats. The WDT should ensure that these processes are institutionalized into the functioning of Gram Panchayat and followed regularly from 2nd year onwards. The activities planned to achieve this should be submitted as apart of the overall Project development plan.

b) Water Budgeting, Management/Regulatory Norms and Governance

It is crucial for the community to establish reference sites of wells/ Springs, and regularly monitor groundwater along with local rainfall, so as to arrive at 49 regulatory norms on water extraction, type of crops to be grown and area coverage.

The groundwater monitoring exercise may be taken up twice a year (April- May & September-October / before the crop season), and results be placed after analysis, before the Gram Sabha. The purpose should be to build a common understanding and consensus in the project community for sustainable use of groundwater. The community should be brought to agree on potential restrictions on new extraction structures, reducing area under water intensive crops and other such norms that economies on water use. These exercises are to be taken up twice a year and activities proposed should be part of the watershed development plan.

A suitable arrangement for carrying out this exercise should be made by PIA in consultation with Watershed Committee and also provide requisite training for the same.

c) Protection and Regulation/Regeneration of Common Lands

Common lands that are typically in the upper reaches of the watershed slopes, including forests, pastures etc. should receive focused attention, along with identification of users, their needs and organizing them into user groups. The plan for regeneration and development should also enlist various products, usufructs arising out of the planned regeneration process, and their benefit sharing norms. Protection measures, norms and their enforcement mechanisms need to be arrived at and must have sanction of the Gram Panchayat.

5. Monitoring & Review, Evaluation, Learning and Documentation Monitoring & Review

Regular monitoring of project status may be undertaken at all levels – WC, PIA, WCDC, SLNA and NLNA. The national and State Level Nodal Departments may also take up reviews from time to time. Online monitoringmust become a feature of the MIS. This will enable monitoring at all levels on same set of real time data. An IT enabled dashboard with access to all responsible for the monitoring may be developed for this purpose. Monitoring should include process, performance and outcomes.

The PIA shall upload progress reports countersigned by the WC Chairmanon real time basis to enable monitoring at various levels.

The WC and PIA should adopt an internal system of review and monitoring, for which the PIA may design its own MIS format view meetings at fixed intervals are also necessary – monthly meetings with all the PIAs in the district by the WCDC; and quarterly reviews by theSLNA; six monthly reviews by the NLNA.

The National and State Nodal Departments may also undertake reviews attheir levels at suitable intervals.

To facilitate a qualitative monitoring & review system, NLNA and SLNA maydesign and develop suitable MIS.

6. Evaluation

In order to support timely evaluation of projects, both National level and State level Panel of Agencies shall be maintained by NLNA and SLNA respectively.

A minimum percentage of evaluations and impact studies will be carried out by national level agencies which may help in deriving strategic lessons for course correction, if any, in the approach and designs of the project and its implementation, and assess whether vision of economy, equity and ecology is being realized at ground level.

The SLNA, by utilizing the services of State panel of evaluators, may also take up evaluation studies with focus on State/UT-specific issues. The findings should help effecting necessary changes in implementation strategy and reorienting focus on different components of the project development plans, if required.

The project-wise evaluation may be undertaken by the WCDC by deploying the State empanelled evaluators.

The purpose of project-wise evaluation would be to identify process gaps and assess performance and quality of outcomes. The evaluation will be on physical, technical and financial aspects of the project.

Each project will be subject to two evaluations, namely, "mid-term" and "end-of-term". While midterm evaluation shall be taken up at the end of 2nd year, the end- of- term evaluation shall be taken up at the end of the project completion.

A separate set of Guidelines on evaluation may be evolved for this purpose byNLNA in consultation with States / UTs.

Assessment co-benefits :

In addition to direct benefits from watershed/springshed development projects, there accrue a number of co-benefits over the project period which support the ecosystems and benefit the society at large. Hence, they are valuable data points for reporting the national achievements *vis-à-vis* its international commitments, on United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC),United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD), Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), NDCs platform etc. An appropriate methodology and template may be developed to collect data points on definite periodicity and on a defined matrix so as to assess the progress on cobenefits accrued to the communities.

DoLR with the help of a specialist group of experts and in consultation with States/UTs, may facilitate development of the framework and modalities of such an assessment. These methodologies will be incorporated into the regular monitoring mechanism of the watershed projects.

2. Consolidation:

The consolidation of the project implementation is envisaged to be attained within five years from the date of investment when the result of the input efforts are expected to be bear returns in economic terms. Although initially the output is expected to be economically sustainable within the next two years of time which is likely to increase non linearly upto optimum productivity. The activities for timber- based afforestation however has far longer gestation period. Such activities are therefore primarily aimed for preservation of sustainable environment. It is therefore expected that the beneficiaries/stakeholders shall also attain the competence to attain self reliance by the end of seven years when complete withdrawal is to be achieved. Any investment thereafter is expected to be met by the beneficiaries/ stakeholders individually or collectively. Nevertheless, the environmental sustainability (including biodiversity) must be observed and monitored by the regulatory bodies (Government) all the time even after withdrawal. Needless to state that the consolidation and withdrawal must be made gradually while imparting not awareness and training but also in creating the infrastructure fortechnical services such as monitoring of water quality, soil quality, processing and warehousing facilities, for value addition of the rural product, marketing etc. the investment in consolidation an withdrawal shall be made solely for common benefits which shall be shared by all beneficiaries of the watershed areas.

Financial Outlays :

		Total	1	st year	2 ⁿ	d _{year}	3	rd _{year}	4 ^t	^h year	5 ^t	h _{year}	
Major Head	Sub Heads	%	%	Fin (Rs.)	%	Fin (Rs.)	%	Fin (Rs.)	%	Fin (Rs.)	%	Fin (Rs.)	Total
	Management Cost	10	2	18.48	2	18.48	2	18.48	2	18.48	2	18.48	92.40
Administrative	Monitoring & Evaluation	2	-	-	0.5	4.62	0.5	4.62	0.5	4.62	0.5	4.62	18.48
	Entry Point Activity	2	2	18.48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18.48
Prenaratory	DPR Preparation	1	1	9.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9.24
Phase	Institution &Capacity Building	3	1.5	13.86	0.5	4.62	0.5	4.62	0.25	2.31	0.25	2.31	27.72
	Natural Resource Management	47	16	147.84	16	147.84	9.5	87.78	3	27.72	2.5	23.10	434.28
	Production System	15	1	9.24	3	27.72	6	55.44	4.25	39.27	0.75	6.93	138.60
	Natural Resource Management & Governance	2	0.5	4.62	0.5	4.62	0.5	4.62	0.5	4.62	-	-	18.48
Works Phase	Livelihood Activities forthe asset less persons, Micro Enterprises & Business Development	15	1	9.24	2.5	23.10	6	55.44	4.5	41.58	1	9.24	138.60
Consolidatio	n & Withdrawal Phase	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	27.72	27.72
	Total		25	231.00	25	231.00	25	231.00	15	138.60	10	92.40	924.00

CHAPTER 1

Introduction and Background

INTRODUCTION

•	Name of the State	:	Assam
•	Name of the District	:	Karbi Anglong
•	Name of the Block	:	Samelangso Development Block.
•	Name of the Project	:	Karbi Anglong-WDC-2/2021-22 (Kantilangso) WDC-PMKSY 2.0
•	Financial Year of sanction:		2021-22
•	Project duration	:	From 2021-22 to 2025-26

Project Background :

Watershed Development Component - Pradhan Mantri Krishi Sinchai Yojna(WDC-PMKSY 2.0) is a modified programme of previous Drought Prone Areas Programme (DPAP), Desert Development Programme (DDP), Integrated Wastelands Development Programme (IWDP) and Integrated Watershed Management Programme (IWMP) of the Development of Land Resources, Government of India. The scheme is launched during 2021-22. The main objectives of the Watershed Development Component-Pradhan Mantri Krishi Sinchai Yojna (WDC-PMKSY 2.0) are to restore the ecological balance by harnessing, conserving and developing degraded natural resources such as soil, vegetative cover and water. The outcomes are prevention of soil erosion, regeneration of natural vegetation, rain water harvesting and recharging of the ground water table. This enables multi-croppingand the introduction of diverse agro-based activities, which help to provide sustainable livelihoods to the people residing in the watershed area.

Every land area, regardless of its location, is part of a watershed. Some areas are plain and other relatively slope. Every watershed has a physical landscape a complex terrain of landforms, water resources, vegetation, animals and their habitats, human being and the structures they have built. At the watershed scale, conflicts over water and land resources are inherently multi-attribute, multi-stakeholder, and multi-discipline decision problems. Watershed systems from those with many small tributaries to large-scale drainage systems and river basins provide direct inputs to economic processes, serve as waste sinks for economic output, and provide ecosystem that make life possible.

In recent years, the concepts of Watershed Development Component have gained increasing attention as strategies for sustainable resource use within a complex multi-institutional regulatory context. Watershed is a basic hydrologic unit, and hydrologic and ecologic processes govern the quality of soil and water resources within the watershed. Soil degradable processes are accentuated by anthropogenic factors. It is appropriate; therefore, that issues related to sustainable management of natural resources (e.g., food security and environment quality) are addressed within the context of watershed management.

Watershed Development Component implies rational utilization of natural resources for optimal and sustained production with minimum hazard to environment. It requires collection and analysis of information from multiple services to ensure sustainable economic and social progress of a watershed.

II). PROFILE OF THE WATERSHED PROJECT:

	Table No.1.1 Project at a Glance	
1	Name of the State	Assam
2	Name of the project	KA-WDC-2/2021-22 (Kantilangso) WDC-PMKSY 2.0
3	Name of the District	Karbi Anglong
4	Name of the Block	Samelangso Development Block.
5	Name of Gram Panchayats	under Autonomous Council
6	Name & Census Code of Villages covered	 Midel Autonomous council Mekwe Engleng- 297075 Jeng Rongpi-297692 Bajin Tokbi- 297061 Chakara Bey- 297085 Kania Bey- 297120 Nopakghat (Garo Basti)- 297125 Jeng Ronghang- 297779 Horchot Taro- 297762 Kabuli Ronghang Jonasing Killing Thang Teron Sarthe Rongpi Habe Kro Sonapur Mojari Tisso Pok-et Bey Mulajan Bura Phangcho Angjok Tokbi Sarso Bey Bhim Teron
7	Four major reasons for selection of watershed	 22. Kangnek Tokol 1) A large population is under poverty 2) Most of the Perennial spring are dried up. 3) Acute shortage of drinking water & irrigation facilities 4) Degradation of natural resources. 5) Most of the area is degradable 6) Most of the farmers are small & marginal
8	Name, Address , Phone No and Reg.No of the PIA(s)	SANGPI TERANGPI. DIVISIONAL SOIL CONSERVATION OFFICER, DIPHU SOIL CONSERVATION DIVISION ,KARBI ANGLONG, ASSAM. KA-WDC-2/2021-22 (Kantilangso) WDC-PMKSY 2.0
9	Date of approval of Watershed Development Plan by the DPC	
10	Area of the Project (ha.)	4700.00 HA
11	Area proposed to be treated (ha.)	3300.00 HA
12	Financial Year of sanction	2021-22
13	Project duration	From 2021 to 2025
14	Project Cost (Rs. in Lakhs)	924.00 Lakhs
15	Date of Sanction by State authority	
16 17	Date of Release of 1 st Installment of Central Assistance (To be filled by DoLR) Any other, please specify	
Source	:-Census 2011 and Field Survey	

• Table No. 1.2 Need and Scope for Watershed Development

Degradation of soil and water resources is considered not only as an utmost constraint to sustainable agricultural development but also a threat to the society. Poor ecosystem management has and result in the impaired functioning of watershed and will continue to do so in the future and the need to protect and preserve the quality of the ecosystem in very essential.

Due to rapid growth of population there is an excessive damaged for more land both for agriculture and non-agriculture use. This has created a vast stretchesof wastelands and some are on the verge of becoming wastelands. There is need to reverse the trend by treating wastelands. Land which is degraded by natural forces needs improvement by appropriate interventions.

Watershed management is the implementation of management systems that ensure the preservation, conservation and sustainable use of all land and water resources. Watershed management also integrates various aspects of forestry, agriculture, hydrology, ecology, soil etc. for choosing acceptable management alternative within the specific social and economic context. As mention above, the major problems of the Kantilangso Watershed are degradation of Natural Resources, soil erosion, siltation etc. and therefore there is a huge scope for taking up watershed development activities in the watershed area.

Table No. 1.2 Need and Scope for Watershed Development

A write up elaborating the weightage table for selection of the watershed. (Weightage for selection of Watershed (as per DoLR's instructions already issued)

							W	eight	age					
Project Name	Project Type	i	ii	Iii	iv	v	vi	vii	Viii	ix	х	xi	xii	xiii
KA-WDC-2/2021-22														
(Kantilangso)	Hilly Undulating	7.5	10	5	10	3	0	10	7.5	15	10	5	0	15
WDC-PMKSY 2.0														

As p	er PPR	.1				
# C S. No	Criteria	Max. score	on of watersned	Ranges & scores		
i	Poverty index (% of poor to population)	10	Above 80 % (10)	80 to 50 % (7.5)	50 to 20 % (5)	Below 20 % (2.5)
ii	% of SC/ ST population	10	More than 40 % (10)	20 to 40 % (5)	Less than 20 % (3)	
iii	Actual wages	5	Actual wages are significantly lower than minimum wages (5)	Actual wages are equal to or higher than minimum wages (0)		
iv	% of small and marginal farmers	10	More than 80 % (10)	50 to 80 % (5)	Less than 50 % (3)	
v	Ground water status	5	Over exploited (5)	Critical (3)	Sub critical (2)	Safe (0)
vi	Moisture index/ DPAP/ DDP Block	15	-66.7 & below (15) DDP Block	-33.3 to -66.6 (10) DPAP Block	0 to -33.2 (0) Non DPAP/ DDP Block	
vii	Area under rain-fed agriculture	15	More than 90 % (15)	80 to 90 % (10)	70 to 80% (5)	Above 70 % (Reject)
viii	Drinking water	10	No source (10)	Problematic village (7.5)	Partially covered (5)	Fully covered (0)
ix	Degraded land	15	High – above 20 % (15)	Medium – 10 to 20 % (10)	Low-less than 10 % of TGA (5)	
x	Productivity potential of the land	15	Lands with low production & where productivity can be significantly enhanced with reasonable efforts (15)	Lands with moderate production & where productivity can be enhanced with reasonable efforts (10)	Lands with high production & where productivity can be marginally enhanced with reasonable efforts (5)	
xi	Contiguity to another watershed that has already been developed/treated	10	Contiguous to previously treated watershed & contiguity within the MWS in the project (10)	Contiguity within the MWS in the project but non contiguous to previously treated watershed (5)	Neither contiguous to previously treated watershed nor contiguity within the MWS in the project (0)	
xii	Cluster approach in the plains (more than one contiguous MWS in the project)	15	Above 6 MWS in cluster (15)	4 to 6 MWS in cluster (10)	2 to 4 MWS in cluster (5)	
xiii	Cluster approach in the hills (more than one contiguous MWS in the project)	13	Above 5 MWS in cluster (15)	3 to 5 MWS in cluster (10)	2 to 3 MWS in cluster (5)	
Sou	rce:-PRA Field Survey					

Table no.1.3: Watershed information

Sl		Watershed		Geographical	Treatable	Approval
no	Name of Project	Code	Villages to be Treated	Area(Ha)	Area(Ha)	Year
			Jeng Ronghang	136.103	131.103	
		Inna	Horchot Taro	201.267	158	
1		Jeng	Jonasing Killing	191.081	124.418	
1		3B2B2b1a	Sonapur	186.319	113	
		JDZDZRIA	Mojari Tisso	282.038	156	
			Pok-et Bey	352.56	182	
2		Bajin Tokbi	Bajin Tokbi	112.721	81.721	
2		3B2B2j3a	Chakara Bey	130.742	118.484	
			Jeng Rongpi	159.511	140.011	
	KA-WDC-		Mekwe Engleng	445.56	332.91	
	2/2021-	Malma	Thang Teron	85.9165	62	2021 22
2	22(Kantilangso)	Englong	Mulajan	125.679	77	2021-22
З	WDC-PMKSY		Sarthe Rongpi	175.18	128	
	2.0	SDZDZKZA	Habe Kro	174.758	157	
			Bura Phangcho	452.592	320	
			Angjok Tokbi	382.961	285.961	
	-	Kabuli	Kabuli Ronghang	85.3657	66.30	
4		Ronghang	Sarso Bey	342.822	238.82	
		3B2B2j1e	Bhim Teron	312.894	159.072	
		Vania Dau	Nopakghat (garo Basti)	16.9943	13	
5		2222231d	Kania Bey	112.20	97.20	
		JDZDZJIU	Kangnek Tokbi	234.748	158	
				4700 (Ha)	3300 (Ha)	

Source:-Census 2011 and Field Survey

Table No.1.4: Status of other development project in the area

no	programme/scheme	agency	programme/scheme	commencemet	covered	number of
						Denenciaries
			N/A			

Table No. 1.5: Status of previous watershed programme

S	Proj	Year	Nam	No. Of	Waters	Area	Fundi	Nod	PI	Tot	Expendi	%	%
	ect	start	e of	micro	hed	under	ng	al	А	al	ture	financi	physi
Ν	nam	ed	villa	waters	codes	treatm	sourc	age		COS	incurred	al	cal
о	е		ges	hed		ent	е	ncy		t	up to	comple	comp
											start of	tion	letion
											IWMP		
						N	/A						

CHAPTER 2

General Description of Project Area

Location of watershed:

The Kantilangso Watershed is located in the Northern part of Diphu the district Head Quarter of karbi Anglong. The project area is situated at a distance of 110 KM from the district H.Q Diphu near the river Doidak. The geographical project area is located at Longitude 26°16′1,92′N Latitude 93°4′50,73′E

The watershed covered 22 numbers of revenue villages under Samelangso Development Block. The total project area is about 4700 Hacters.

The Kantilangso Watershed is characterized to plain to slightly hilly topography with few stream and springs. The major problems of the watershed are – land degradation and surface run off originating from heavy and intense seasonal rainfall attain high velocity due to high gradient which prevail in the watershed and thereby causes all types of soil erosion hazards,lack of sufficient vegetation in the project area is one of the factor affecting the infiltration and percolation of the surface flow leading to severe moisture stress in the soil, which affects the economy of the people in the long run. Besides above the uneven rainfalls , lacks of irrigation facilities, damage cause by pest and disease in agricultural production etc also important problem in the project area. Seasonal and overall decline discharge in springs has affected both domestic water availability in villages and Agriculture productivity.

Physiography :

The district area can be divided three parts viz: 1) Denudational hills, 2) Pediment zone and 3) Valley hill Areas.

The hills form a stable shield with rugged and rolling surface which represent and a mature to sub mature topography with round to sub round crest and acquire dome shape at places. The hills are generally NE-SW trending with height acquiring maximum of 1400m amsl.

There are mainly two types of soil are mainly observed in the district. 1) Brown to pale Brown soil develop on the top of the hills, lateritic in places and 2) the alluvial soil ,sandy loam or clayed developed on the low lying terrain.

CLIMATE:

Variation in the topography this hill zone experience different climate in different parts. The winter commence from November and continue till February. During summer the atmosphere becomes sultry. The temperature ranges from 6-12 'c in winter and 23 - 32 'C in summer. The average rainfall is about 1121.5mm.

SOIL:

The surface of project area is palin to hilly slope and the soil in this part of the area are brown to pale brown soil develop on the top of the hills lateritic in places and alluvial soil, sandy loam or clayed developed on low lying terrain.

Ground Water:

The average annual rainfall of the district is 1121.5mm. The rainfall is unevently distributed over the period of six months from April to September.

Hydro geologically, the entire district can be divided into three units (1) Consolidated formations comprising oldest granite rock, gneisses etc. (2) Semi Consolidated rocks constituting the Tertiary rocks and (3) the unconsolidated alluvial sediments.

In the consolidated formation, ground water is confined to the top weathered zone and the fracture and fissures of the fresh hard rock. The thicknesses of the weathered zone depend on the compactness and topography of the rock types and other climate effects. The depth to water level varies from 4 to 6 m in low terraced zone and 8 to 10 m in high terraced zone. In small valleys within denudation hills, the statics water level is 5 to 7 m bgl with water level fluactuation ranging from 2 to 3m. The depth of the weathered materials generally is from 10 to 20m.

Socio-Economy:

The community of the project area are mainly depend on rainfed agriculture. Besides various crops, paddy is the main crop which is cultivated in the low lying area as wet terrace paddy field and in the hilly area as traditional jhuming cultivation is practice which is shifted every year. All the farming is traditional and one cannot expect high productivity due to unscientific way of cultivation, lack of proper irrigation system also damages cause by pest and diseases. Beside farming some household are also engaged in traditional bamboo craft, handloom and weaving, goatery, poultry and fishery which are the other activities helping the economy of the community.

Land use/ Land cover :

The Land use categories are identified through visual interpretation of remote sensing rap and supported by field verification. The identified main land use categories are- Agricultural Land constitute about 1151 Hac. About 24% of total Geographical area of the Watershed. Double Croping constitute about 0%, Forest Evergreen/semi evergreen)-367 hac. Permanent Pasture -55 hac and rural habitation including homestead plantation constitute about 368 hac area.



CHAPTER 2

General Description of Project Area

Table 2.1: Location

Longitude	N 26°16'1.92"
Latitude	E 93° 4'50.73"
State	Assam
District	Karbi Anglong
Subdivision	Diphu
Block	Samelangso Development Block.
Panchayat	Under Autonomous Council.
Villages	Bajin Tokbi, Chakra bey, Jeng Ronghang, Horchot
	Taro, Jonasing Killing, Mekwe Engleng, Jeng
	Rongpi, Kabuli Ronghang, Kania Bey, Nopakghat
	(Garo Basti). Thang Teron, Sarthe Rongpi, Habe
	Kro, Sonapur, Mojari Tisso, Pok-et Bey, Mulajan,
	Bura Phangcho, Angjok Tokbi, Sarso Bey, Bhim
	Teron, Kangnek Tokbi.
Approach Road	PWD Road.

Source:-Field Survey.





Area under Major Land Uses (Area in Ha.) **Table no: 2.2 Land Details**

SI.	Names of	Geographi cal Area	Forest	Land under	Rain-fed	Irrig	Perman	Waste	eland
No	villages	of the village (ha)	Area (ha)	agricultu ral use (ha)	area (ha)	ated Area	pasture s (ha)	Cultivab le (ha)	Non- cultivab le (ha)
1	JENG RONGHANG	136.103	32	29	32		5	67.103	3
2	HORCHOT TARO	201.267	26	45	43		23	89	18.267
3	JONASING KILLING	191.081	28	22.081	36.418		16	60	65
4	SONAPUR URANG BASTI	186.319	5	27	41		31	67	56.319
5	MOJARI TISSO	282.038	0	111	55		12.038	101	58
6	POK-ET BEY	352.56	0	79	70		51.56	112	110
7	BAJIN TOKBI	112.721	19	23	37		3	25.721	42
8	CHAKARA BEY	130.742	21	24	32		3	65.484	17.258
9	JENG RONGPI	159.511	11	29	49		9.5	80.011	30
10	MEKVE ENGLENG	445.56	28	230	140		2.65	164.91	20
11	THANG TERON	85.9165	13	28	28		4.9165	21	19
12	MULAJAN	125.679	18	29.679	30		11	29	38
13	SARTHE RONGPI	175.18	24	22	58		37	46	46.18
14	HABE KRO	174.758	14	40	56		6	87	27.758
15	BURA PHANGCHO	452.592	45	165	104		13	171	58.592
16	ANGJOK TOKBI	382.961	118.592	137	80		8	87.369	32
17	KABULI RONGHANG	85.3657	3	41	36		5	27.3	9.0657
18	SARSO BEY	342.822	129.32	145.002	80		12	29.5	27
19	BHIM TERON	312.894	45	131.928	41		9.394	73.072	53.5
20	GARO BASTI (NOPAKGHAT)	16.9943	2	4.8	10		1.18943	1	8.00487
21	KANIA BEY	112.20	3	18	38		3	56.2	32
22	KANEK TOKBI	234.748	38	72.7	70		4.048	50	70
	TOTAL	4700 Ha	622.912	1454.19	1166.418		271.2959	1510.67	840.944 6

Source:-Field Survey Data, Census 2011 and Handbook

Table No. 2.3: Details of the types of areas covered under the project

1	2				3	
			1	No. of ber	neficiaries covere	d
SI. No.	Name of village	MF	SF	LF	Landless	Total
1	JENG RONGHANG	25	10	-	-	35
2	HORCHOT TARO	41	21	-	-	62
3	JONASING KILLING	16	18	-	-	34
4	SONAPUR	10	12	-	-	22
5	MOJARI TISSO	12	17	-	-	27
6	POK-ET BEY	15	13	-	-	28
7	BAJIN TOKBI	54	12	-	-	66
8	CHAKRA BEY	62	20	-	-	82
9	JENG RONGPI	67	19	-	-	86
10	MEKWE ENGLENG	58	8	-	-	66
11	THANG TERON	30	15	-	-	45
12	MULAJAN	14	17	-	-	31
13	SARTHE RONGPI	22	10	-	-	32
14	HABE KRO	30	12	-	-	42
15	BURA PHANGCHO	22	26	-	-	48
16	ANGJOK TOKBI	19	24	-	-	33
17	KABULI RONGHANG	54	6	-	-	60
18	SARSO BEY	28	30	-	-	58
19	BHIM TERON	25	29	-	-	54
20	GARO BASTI (NOPAKGHAT)	70	43	-	-	113
21	KANIA BEY	28	12	-	-	40
22	KANGNEK TOKBI	26	24			50
	Total	728	398	-	-	1114

Source:- Field Survey

1	2	3	4	5		6	7						
		Name of the			Major s	oil types	Major c	rops					
Sl. No.	Name of the Project	climatic zone covers project area	Area in ha	Names of the villages	a)Type	b) Area in ha	a) Name	b) Area in ha					
1				JENG RONGHANG	S L	95.27		102					
2				HORCHOT TARO	S L	151.00		166					
3				JONASING KILLING	SL	114.65		128					
4				SONAPUR	SL	111.80		129					
5				MOJARI TISSO	SL	211.53		254					
6				POK-ET BEY	SL	246.80		295					
7				BAJIN TOKBI	LL	76.63		106					
8				CHAKARA BEY	S L	78.45	Paddy Gram	116					
9			4700.00 (HA)	4700.00 (HA)	4700.00	4700.00			JENG RONGPI	S L	95.71	Pea	120
10	KA-WDC- 2/2021-	Hills					MEKVE ENGLENG	LL	354.504	Toria Maize Sugar cane	325		
11	22(Kantilangso) WDC-PMKSY	zone AES- I			THANG TERON	C L	51.55	Areca nut Banana	63				
12	20			MULAJAN		75.41	Sesamum	81					
13	2.0			SARTHE RONGPI	CL	105.10	Lemon Turmaric	120					
14				HABE KRO	C L	104.85	Vegetables	115					
15				BURA PHANGCHO	SL	340.00	Fruits etc.	350					
16				ANGJOK TOKBI	SL	287.22		290					
17				KABULI RONGHANG	C L	51.22		53					
18				SARSO BEY	CL	257.12		260					
19				BHIM TERON	CL	234.67		225					
20				GARO BASTI (NOPAKGHAT)	C L	13.096		14					
21				KANIA BEY	CL	67.32		64					
22				KANGNEK TOKBI	CL	176.10		197					

SL- Sandy Loam, LL- Loamy Land, CL- Clay Loam, Source:- Field Survey

Table No. 2.5 Details of flood and drought in the project area

1	2	3		5	
CI				Not	
No	Particulars	Villages	احترمط	Any other	affected
110.			Annual	(please specify)	anecteu
1	Flood	No. of villages	-	-	-
		Name(s) of villages	-	-	-
2	Drought		Occasionally	22 Noc	-
		IND. OF VIHAYES	(4-5 month)	22 NUS.	
		Name(s) of villages	-	-	-

Source:- Field Survey

Table No. 2.6: Details of soil erosion in the project area

1	2	3	4	5		
Cause	Type of erosion	Area affected (ha)	Run off (mm/ year)	Average soil loss (Tones/ ha/ year)		
Water erosion						
а	Sheet	450	000			
b	Rill	-	090 mm/vr	19 Tones/ha/year		
C	Gully	-	11111/ y1			
Sub-Tota	al	-	890 mm/yr	19 Tones/ha/year		
Wind erosion		-	-	-		
Total			890 mm/yr	19 Tones/ha/year		

Source:- Field Survey

Name of the Villages	Sample no	Soil Ph	Soil Type
JENG RONGHANG	4	4.5 to 5.5	Sandy Loam
HORCHOT TARO	4	4.5 to 5.5	Sandy Loam
JONASING KILLING	4	4.5 to 5.5	Sandy Loam
SONAPUR	4	4.5 to 5.5	Sandy Loam
MOJARI TISSO	4	4.5 to 5.5	Sandy Loam
POK-ET BEY	4	4.5 to 5.5	Sandy Loam
BAJIN TOKBI	4	4.5 to 5.5	Loamy Clay
CHAKARA BEY	4	5.5 to 6.0	Sandy Loam
JENG RONGPI	4	4.5 to 5.5	Sandy Loam
MEKWE ENGLENG	4	4.5 to 5.5	Loamy Clay
THANG TERON	4	4.5 to 5.5	Sandy Loam
MULAJAN	4	4.5 to 5.5	Sandy Loam
SARTHE RONGPI	4	4.5 to 5.5	Sandy Loam
HABE KRO	4	4.5 to 5.5	Sandy Loam
BURA PHANGCHO	4	4.5 to 5.5	Sandy Loam
ANGJOK TOKBI	4	4.5 to 5.5	Sandy Loam
KABULI RONGHANG	4	4.5 to 5.5	Clay Loam
SARSO BEY	4	4.5 to 5.5	Clay Loam
BHIM TERON	4	4.5 to 5.5	Clay Loam
KANIA BEY	4	4.5 to 5.5	Clay Loam
GARO BASTI (NOPAKGHAT)	4	4.5 to 5.5	Clay Loam
KANGNEK TOKBI	4	4.5 to 5.5	Clay Loam

Table No. 2.7 Details of the Soil pH

Source:-Agriculture Dept. Karbi Anglong, Diphu.

Table No.2.7.1 Climatic Condition

Sl No	Year/ Month	Average Monthly Rain fall(in mm)	Average Annual rainfall(in mm)precedi ng 5 years	Tem	Temp(ºC)		Temp(⁰ C)		Temp(ºC)		Temp(ºC)		Temp(ºC)		Temp(ºC)		Temp(ºC)		Temp(ºC)		Temp(ºC)		Temp(ºC)		Temp(ºC)		Temp(ºC)		Open pan evapora tion (mm per day)	Relative Humidity (RH)	Averag e Annual run off(mm /year)
	March			Max	Min																										
1	2021	34.2		31.4	-	-	3	91																							
2	April 2021	59.6		33.7	-	-	3	83																							
3	May 2021	126.5		32.3	-	-	11	88																							
4	June 2021	46.4		33.7	-	-	5	91																							
5	July 2021	318.4		34.1	-	-	11	91																							
6	August 2021	260.5	1112.0	33.9	21.7	-	22	92																							
7	Septemb er 2021	239.8	1113.0	34.4	21.0	-	15	91																							
8	October 2021	54.4		32.9	19.6	-	9	93																							
9	Novemb er 2021	0.0		29.6	11.7	-	0	91																							
10	Decembe r 2021	10.1		26.9	8.8	-	3	95																							
11	January 2022	27.5		24.4	9.0	-	12	95																							
12	February 2022	23.1		25.5	7.6	-	10	90																							
	Average Monthly Rain Fall	100.04																													

Source:- Regional Agricultural Research Station (RARS) Assam Agricultural University, Diphu, Karbi Anglong.



Table No.-2.8 Physiographic Features

Elevation (MSL)	Slope Range(%)	Order of Watersh ed	Major Stream	Top sequence (Soil series)	Average annual soil loss(Ton/ hectare/year)
92-325 M	0 to 7%600.50 Ha 7 to 14%131.93 Ha 14 to 21%148.80 Ha 21 to 28%119.78 Ha 28 to 35%40.85 Ha 35%4.78 Ha	2 th order	Kantilangso	Clay loam, Sandy loam, Clay loam, Loamy Land,	10 Ha/ year

Source:- Aster Global DEM. Using GIS

Table No. 2.9 Watershed characteristics

Shape index of the watershed	Length of main stream	Drainage density	Average slope	Watershed relief	Perimeter of the watershed	
0.035	1236.4 M	14.178 M/ha	0 to 35 %	196 M	211.23 M	

Source:- Topographical Map (Survey of India)

CHAPTER – 3 **BASE LINE INFORMATION OF WATERSHED**

5

6231

-

6231

-

-

-

1870

-

-

-

-

_

-

-

-

-

2 3 4 1 S.No Feature Male Female Total Population 3539 2692 1 SC --ST 2692 3539 BC --Others --Children(0-14 years) 2 -_ 3 750 1120 Sex Ratio 4 Literacy 53.52% -Literates _ -Illiterates --5 Work Force --Agriculture 38.24% _ Industrial/Business --Service 1.8% -Birth Rate 6 _ -7 Death Rate --

Table No. 3.1: Demographic features:

1	2	3
S.No	Feature	No./ quantity)
1	Milk Animals	
	Cows	150
	Buffalos	10
	Goat, sheep	300
2	Draft Animals	
	Ox	-
	He Buffalo	-
3	Others	
	Poultry	510
	Piggery	150
4	Total Milk production from milk animals (ltrs/day)	125
5	Fodder Availability	
	Dry (Abundant/Sufficient/ Scarce)	Dry (Sufficient)
	Green (Abundant/Sufficient/ Scarce)	Green (Sufficient)
6	Fuel wood Availability (Abundant/Sufficient/Scarce)	Sufficient

Table No.3.3:Socio- economic status:

1	2	3	4			5			6							
S.	Туре	Total	No. of	Land	Holding	(Ha)				Annual Gross Income (Rs.)						
No		HHs	BPL	Rain	fed		Irriga	ited		SC	ST	Others	Total			
			HHs	SC	ST	Others	SC	SC ST Others								
1	Marginal	480	715		560					-	3500000		3500000			
2	Small Farmers	576			606						2065000		2065000			
3	Big farmers									-						
4	Landless									-						
	Total	1056	715		1166					-	5565000		5565000			

Source:- Participation Rural Appraisal (PRA) Socio Economic Survey

Table No. 3.4: Migration Details:

1	2 3 4				4	5	6	7
	No. d	of pei	rsons migrating	No of days		Distance of		Income from
SI. No.	М	F	Total	per year of migration Major reason(s) for migrating	Major reason(s) for migrating	destination of migration from the village (km)	Occupation during migration	such occupation (Rs.)
	187	20	207	95	For Survival sustainability	102 km	Agril laborer, Daily Wages.	300/day

Та	Table No. 3.5: Details of Community Based Organizations existing in the watershed village:																						
1	2		3				4				5			6	5		7	7		8			9
S.			Total no. o	of CBOs	No. of members			l ea	No. of S ach cat	ST in egory	No ca	No. of SC in each category		No. of Others in each category			N ea	lo. of B ach cat	PL in egory	Bank linkage			
N o.	Group	With only Men	With only Women	With both	Total		М	F	Tot al	М	F	Total	М	F	Tota l	М	F	Total	М	F	Total	No. of SHGs	Bank Loan Amou nt (Rs.)
						(i) Landless	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
1	SHG	-	18		18	(ii) MF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
T						(iii) SF	180	180			180	180								180	180	18	
			10		10	(iv) LF	-	100	-	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-		100		10	
	Total		18		18	(')	180	180			180	180								180	180	18	
	N.C.					(1) Landless	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2	UGs					(11) MF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
						(III) SF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Total									_			_	-		_	_		_			_	
3	VSS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	FG/ FC ¹	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	WUA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	F-SHG-C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	F-SHG-B	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	PG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	РС	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1 0	Other related Groups (Specify)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
VS Blc	S: Van Suraksl ick), PG: Produ	ha Sam ucer's G	iiti, FG: Fa Group, PC	armer's : Produ	Group cer's C	/ Farmer's ooperative.	Club, Sou	WUA: I rce:-	Wate • Part	r Us t ici į	ser As Sontio	sociatio n Rur a	on, F a l A	-S pp	HG: Fe p raisa	eder I (I	atio PR A	on of S 4 <i>) Soc</i>	HGs io I	s (C: a E con o	at Clust D mic S	er, B: a urvey	it

Table No. 3.6: Infrastructure Facilities

4	2	2	4	Г
		3	4	5
S.NO	Infrastructure type	No./Quantity	Distance (km)	Status (description)
1	Educational Institutions			
	Anganwadi	1	Within Project	Operational
			Area	
	Primary School	5	Within Project	Operational
		-	Area	
	Secondary school	1	4	Baghpani
	Govt. College	-	-	At the district head
				quarter only
	Vocational Institutions	-	-	At the district head
				quarter only
2	Service Institutions			
	Bank	1	9	At Dokmoka
	Post office	1	Within the	At Baghpani
			Project Area	
	Primary Health Care Center	1	Within the	Operational
			Project Area	
	Veterinary Center	-	-	-
	Markets/ Village Haat	1	Within the	Weekly
			Project Area	
3	No. of bore wells/pump sets	1	Within the	Functional
	(Functional)		Project Area	
4	No. of Milk collection centers	-	-	-
	(Union/ Society/ Pvt.			
	Agency/Others)			
	Total Quantity of surplus milk	-	-	-
5	Road Connectivity (to main	yes		Functional
	road by an all-weather road)			
	(Yes/No)			
6	Bus facility (Yes/No)	No	No	No
7	No. of HHs provided electricity	470	-	Functional
8	No. of HHs with access to	45		
	drinking water			
9	Access to Agro Industries	No	No	No
	(Yes/No)			
10	Any other facilities (specify	-	-	-
)			

Table No.3.7 Land use pattern (in Hectares)#geographical area here is the area covered under the watershed.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Ç	Э	10		11	12	13*
S. No	Village	Geograp hical Area#	Forest Area	Commu nity Land	Land under Non Agriculture Use	Perman ent Pastures	Land Under miscellan	Uncult Privat Tempo rary	tivated e land Perman ent	Cultivate	ted area Cultivate d	Net Sown Area	Net Area sown more than once	Gross Croppe d Area
1		126 102		6	11			fallow	Fallow		Irrigated	10		10
1	JENG RONGHANG	136.103	32	6	10	5	29	14	8	32		12		12
2	HORCHOT TARO	201.267	26	8	10	23	45	12	6	43		14		14
3	JONASING KILLING	191.081	28	9	9	16	22.081	16	10	36.418		20		20
4	SONAPUR	186.319	5	8	12	31	27	19	17	41		9		9
5	MOJARI TISSO	282.038	0	7	15	12.038	111	22	5	55		16		16
6	POK-ET BEY	352.56	0	6	8	51.56	79	13	3	70		18		18
7	BAJIN TOKBI	112.721	19	5	7	3	23	10	6	37		11		11
8	CHAKARA BEY	130.742	21	6	15	3	24	9	11	32		9		9
9	JENG RONGPI	159.511	11	7	10	9.5	29	13	9	49		14		14
10	MEKVE ENGLENG	445.56	28	8	13	2.65	230	21	11	140		20		20
11	THANG TERON	85.9165	13	9	16	4.9165	28	15	5	28		13		13
12	SARTHE RONGPI	125.679	18	6	12	11	29.679	14	7	30		8		8
13	HABE KRO	175.18	24	7	11	37	22	11	4	58		9		9
14	MULAJAN	174.758	14	8	10	6	40	8	10	56		11		11
15	BURA PHANGCHO	452.592	45	9	19	13	165	17	12	104		17		17
16	ANGJOK TOKBI	382.961	118.592	7	8	8	137	13	6	80		14		14
17	KABULI RONGHANG	85.3657	3	5	11	5	41	16	8	36		10		10
18	SARSO BEY	342.822	129.32	6	8	12	145.002	11	8	80		19		19
19	BHIM TERON	312.894	45	8	7	9.394	131.928	20	7	41		13		13
20	GARO BASTI (NOPAKGHAT)	16.9943	2	6	12	1.18943	4.8	18	9	10		15		15
21	KANIA BEY	112.20	3	5	14	3	18	7	12	38		12		12
22	KANGNEK TOKBI	234.748	38	6	10	4.048	72.7	6	8	70		14		14
. ~	Total	4700.00	622.912	152	248	271.295 9	1454.19	305	182	1166.418		298		298

Column 13 is the summation of column 11 & 12. Source: Cartosats

Table No. 3.8: Details of Common Property Resources:

1	2	4								
	CDD	Total Area (I Area owned/	na) / In possession of	f		Area available for treatment (ha)				
S.No	Particulars	Pvt. persons	Govt. (specify dept.)	PRI (PI. Specify)		Pvt. persons	Govt. (specify deptt.)	PRI	Any other (Pl. Specify)	
	Wasteland/ degraded land	470				520				
	Pastures	20				30				
	Orchards									
	Village Forest	210				157				
	Forest									
	Village Ponds/ Tanks	4.5				15				
	Community Buildings									
	Weekly Markets	0.8								
	Permanent markets									
	Temples/ Places of worship	3.3								
	Others (Pl. specify) Cremation	4.8								
	Total	713.40				722.00				

Table No. 3.9: Agriculture implements:

1	3		
S. No	Implements	Nos.	
1	Tractor (Power Tiller)	3	
2	Sprayers-manual/ power	3	
3	Cultivators/Harrows		
4	Seed drill		

Table No. 3.10: Crop Classification

1	2	3		
S. No	Crop classification	Area (Ac)		
1	Single crop	1454.19 Ha		
2	Double crop			
3	Multiple crop			

Table No. 3.11: Crops & Cropping pattern:

1	2	3		4				5				6			
S	Season	Crop		Rain fed				Irrigated				Total			
		sown	Area	Product	Producti	Cost of	Are	Product	Producti	Cost of	Area	Product	Productiv	Cost of	
Ν			(ha)	ion	vity	cultivatio	а	ion(Ton	vity	cultivatio	(ha)	ion	ity	cultivatio	
0				(Ton/yr	(Kgs/ha)	n (Rs.	(ha)	/yr)	(Kgs/ha)	n (Rs.		(Ton/yr	(Kgs/ha)	n (Rs.	
)		/ha)				/ha))		/ha)	
1	Kharif	Paddy	1134	90.75	90750	15000					1134	90.75	90750	15000	
2	Rabi														
3	Summer														
	Total		1134	90.75	90750	15000					1134	90.75	90750	15000	

Table No. 3.12: Land capability Classification																	
	2	3			4				5			6				Land class	
SI. No		Total Area (ha)	Soil Texture *	Based on Depth (cms)- (mention area in ha)				Based on Slope (%) (mention area in ha)			Erosion (mention area in ha)						
	Land type			V. Shallo w (0.75)	Shallo w (7.5- 22.5)	Moderat e deep (22.5- 45.00)	Deep (45.0- 90.0)	Very. Deep (>90)	Near ly Leve l (0- 2)	Moder ate slope (2-6)	Stron g slope (6- 15)	Steep (>15)		Water		Wi nd	
													Sheet	Rill	Gully		
	Agricultural	1151	Sandy - loam				690.5			600.50			58				lle
	Agricultural		Clay loam				460.5			131.93			65				lle
	Agricultural (including fallow & Cultivable Waste Land)		Sandy Ioam				2596				148. 80		95				lle
	Degraded Forest/ Scrub/ Jhum Land		Sandy Ioam				367					119.78	45				lle
	Hill & other critical area		Sandy Ioam				227.8					45.63	187				lle

* Soil texture (sandy-clay, clayey, loamy-clay)

Table No.3.13: Irrigation facilities:

1	2	3	4		
S.No	Type of the Source	Nos.	Command area		
			(in ha)		
1	Ponds	26	72		
2	Open wells	15	7		
3	Bore wells	1	17		
4	Canal irrigation	2	3		
5	Natural spring head	5	3		

Source:- Field Survey

Table No. 3.14: Status of water table:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
SI. No	Source (open	Plot No of	Name of the	Date of recording	Depth of	Source located at (ridge/middle/valley)	Remarks
	well)**	the source	Owner*		water table		
					from		
					ground level		
					(in		
					mts)		
1	Water Tank	1	Roton Tokbi	20-2-2022	15	Valley	
2	Water Tank	1	Longki Engleng	20-2-2022	16	Valley	
3	Water Tank	1	Mensing Tokbi	17-02- 2022	15	Valley	
4	Water Tank	1	Bishnu Rongpi	17-02-22	18	Valley	
5	Water Tank	1	Arun Phangcho	18-02-22	18	Valley	

** Identify at least five representative open wells in the ridge/middle/valley portion. Collect the data at the time of DPR and maintain a register every Quarter

Source:- Field Survey

Table No. 3.15: Assessment of drinking wat	ter facility*:
--	----------------

1	2	3	4	5
S.No	Item	Units	Quantity	Source
1	Drinking water requirement	Ltrs/day	57600	Ring Well, Open Well,
				Tube Well, Spring,
2	Present availability of drinking water	Ltrs/day	28800	Ring Well, Open Well,
				Tube well, Spring,
				Water Reserver,
				Drinking Water Tank
3	No. of drinking water sources available	Nos	31	Ring Well, Tube Well,
				Open Well, Spring.
a)	Functional	Nos	18	Ring Well, Tube Well,
				Open Well, Spring.
b)	Need Repairing	Nos		
c)	Defunct	Nos	2	Ring Well,
4	Short fall if any	Ltrs/day		
5	No. of families getting drinking water	Nos		
	from out side the Micro watershed area			
6	Requirement of new drinking water	Nos.	16	Ring Well, Tube well,
	sources (if any)			Water Reserver,
				Drinking Water Tank

* based on the observation from the field

Source:-P.H.C (Dokmoka)
Table No. 3.16: Surface water resources

1	2	3	4	5
SI. No	Type of water	Nos	Area irrigated	Storage capacity
	resource		(Ha)	(Cu.m)
1	Tank	3	11.5	18000
2	Pond	16	9.5	240000
3	Lake			
4	Check dam/ Water	6	58.5	32000
	Harvesting Structure			
5	Percolation tank			
6	Channel/Canal	3120 RM	624	6000
7	Any others (specify			

Source:- Field Survey

Table I	No. 3.17 Ground Water	Structures to	be repaired.		
			No. ava	ilable	
SI. No	Type of structure	No. to be Repaired	No. to be rejuvenated	No. with no interventions required	Total
	Total				

Table No. 3.18: Existing Water Saving Practices:

able No. 5.10.	Existing wat	el Saving I lacti	LES.		1
Name of the		Area (Ha)		
Major Crop					
	Under water saving devices ^{\$}	Under water conserving agronomic practices#	Any other (Pl. Specify)	Total	Current water Saving status as against
		practices#			(Cu.m)

\$: Sprinklers, Drip, PVC Pipe, etc.,

#: Vermi compost, organic manuring, check basin, alternate furrow, Ridges and furrow & specific practices

Table No. 3.19: Details of existing livelihoods

1	2			3			4					
ç			No. of beneficiaries									
s. No.	Name of activity	SC	ST	Others	Total	Women	average income per HH (Rs.)					
1	Daily Wages						4,500-6,000 per					
-				month.								

 Table No. 3.20: Existing functional assets (Works already completed under different schemes including works undertaken by farmers independently)

1	2	3	4	5	6
S.lNo	Name of the work	Plot No.	Quantity	Amount	Programme
			(No./RMTs)	spent (Rs.)	
		N/A			

1	2	3	4
S.No	Problem area	Problem analysis	Proposed interventions to overcome problems
1	Soil Conservation (slope, erosion, soil loss, rainfall, productivity, etc)	Destruction of vegetative cover for various purpose and varying slope of the area had led to soil. Intense rainfall also causes erosion due to decreasing ground cover. Loss of top soil decreases fertility of land resulting in less productivity.	Soil & moisture conservation practices like Farm bunding etc. have to be taken up.
2	Water conservation (Water budget, Ground water norms, productivity)	Decreasing vegetative cover has increased the surface run off giving little chance for infiltration for recharging the ground water. Lack of water storage facility cause scarcity of water during winter.	Rain Water Harvesting by constructing Farm Pond, Tank, RCC Check dam have to be adopted.
3	Crop coverage – {80% of w/s area should be with canopy}	Practice of shifting cultivation in major part of the project area has destroyed the vegetative cover.	Permanent farming practices are to be adopted viz., Cash crop, Horticultural crop,. Etc.
4	Agriculture productivity (crop wise compare with dist. average)	Due to loss of top fertile soil, lack of irrigation facility, erratic and uncertain rain fall, low cropping intensity and lack of location specific technologies are the main cause of low agricultural productivity.	With proper water conservation and distribution system, multiple cropping pattern are to be followed for improvement of productivity.
5	Existing Livelihood activities for Asset less persons	There is lack of skill and resource for the assetless poor in the project area to live their livelihood. Working on daily wage is their only source of income.	Facilitating them with livelihood oriented activities like Weaving, Production unit, Horticulture, Cash Crop Development etc. will help them to sustain their livelihood.
5	Community Based Organizations & Social capital base	The community based concept is lacking in the area. Traditional system of village organization plays very little role in resource mobilization.	Awareness programme as well as community organization programme have to be conducted to pull the people with common interest into certain group to achieve their goal by way of mobilizing resources.
7	Capacity Building	Participation of the people in development programme is	Awareness campaign, mass meetings are to be

	(participation,	very less due to lack of proper awareness towards Govt.	organized throughout the project area to up-grade
	training,awareness of	schemes & other activities.	the knowledge base and skill of the local mass.
	watershed community		
8	Others (specify)	Due to limited connectivity, transport & market facility,	It is necessary to facilitate value addition to the
		farmers hardly get the due return of their produce.	products of the farmers and to ensure the market
			linkage so as to obtain the maximum return.

Source:- Participation Rural Appraisal (PRA) and Field Survey

CHAPTER – 4

Institutional Building and Project Management

Table No. 4.1 Details of SHGs & UGs newly formed under IWMP:

1	2	3				4	•			5				5		7	7		8	3	9		
SI.	Type of		Total no. o	of CBOs		No	. of m	embe	rs	No. c	of ST i catego	n each ory	No c	o. of ea ate	f SC in ch gory	0 c	No the ea ate	. of ers in ch gory	No c	. of ea ate	BPL in ch gory	Bank	linkage
NO.	Group	With only Men	With only Women	With both	Total		Μ	F	Total	Μ	F	Total	Μ	F	Total	Μ	F	Total	Μ	F	Total	No. of SHGs	Amount (Rs)
						(i) Landless								-					1			-	
	SHG		50		50	(ii) MF		200	200		200	200		1									
1						(iii) SF		300	300		300	300		1									
						(iv) LF																	
	Total							500	500		500	500											
						(i) Landless																	
2	UGs	66			66	(ii) MF	150	-	150	160	-	160											
						(iii) SF	170	-	170	180	-	180											
						(iv) LF																	
	Total						320		320	340		340											

*Account no. of Watershed Committee, PIA.

Source:- Field Survey

PHOTOGRAPHS DURING AWARENESS PROGRAMME





PHOTOGRAPHS DURING AWARENESS PROGRAMME



PHOTOGRAPHS DURING GRAM SABHA PROGRAMME



PHOTOGRAPHS DURING GRAM SABHA PROGRAMME





4.2: D	etails of Water	rshed Con	imittees (Wo	[]													
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Name	Date of Registration as	No. of members	Decignation	Name		SC	ST	SF	MF	LF	Land -less	UG	SHG	GP	Any other	Educl	Function/s
of WCs	a Society (dd/mm/ yyyy)	IN WC	Designation	Name				۷	Vrite	°Ye	es" if	appl	icable			cation	assigned#
			Chairman	Ratan Tokbi	М		ST	SF								HSLC	B,D,E,F,G,H
			V. chairman	Sunita Rongpipi	F		ST						SHG			HSLC	B,D,E,F,G,H
			Secratary	Rajib Killing	M		ST	SF								B.A	B,C,E,F,G,H,I,J Arrangementof WC meeting)
Bajin		10	Member	Bison Bey	Μ		ST	SF								B.A	B, E
Tokbi		Members	Member	Bidor Tokbi	Μ		ST	SF								HSLC	В, Е
			Member	Sarsing Tisso	Μ		ST	SF								H.S	В, Е
			Member	Harsing Killing	Μ		ST	SF								B.A	В, Е
			Member	Gandhi Tokbi	Μ		ST	SF								Х	B <i>,</i> E
			Member	Chila Killingpi	F		ST						SHG			Х	В, Е
			Member	Rojoni Lekthepi	F		ST						SHG			х	В, Е

Source:- Participation Rural Appraisal (PRA) and Field survey

- A. PNP and PRA
- C. Maintenance of Accounts
- E. Supervision of construction activities
- G. Verification & Measurement
- I. Social Audit

- B. Planning
- D. Signing of cheques and making payments
- F. Cost Estimation
- H. Record of labour employed
- J. Any other (please specify).

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Name of	Date of Registration as	No. of members	Designation	Namo		SC	ST	SF	MF	LF	Land- less	UG	SHG	GP	Any other	Educl	Function/s
WCs	a Society (dd/mm/ yyyy)	IN WC	Designation	Name	M/F			Write	e "Y	'es" i	f appl	icał	ole			cation	assigned#
			Chairman	Minarson Taro	М		ST	SF								H.S	B,D,E,F,G,H
			V. Chairman	Mirlyn Terangpi	F		ST									Х	B,D,E,F,G,H
																	B,C,E,F,G,H,I,J
1		10	Secretary	Bikrom Keup	М		ST	SF								B.A	Arrangement of WC meeting)
Jeng		Members	Member	Somit Killing	М		ST	SF								B.A	B, E
Kongnang			Member	Rinu Taropi	F		ST									V	B, E
			Member	Rupsing Rongchehon	М		ST	SF								Х	B, E
			Member	Amphu Tokbipi	F		ST									V	В, Е
			Member	Robin Killing	М		ST	SF								H.S	В, Е
			Member	Sikari Ronghang	М		ST	SF								Х	В, Е
			Member	Cyrush Tokbi	Μ		ST	SF								B.A	В, Е

- A. PNP and PRA
- C. Maintenance of Accounts
- E. Supervision of construction activities
- G. Verification & Measurement
- I. Social Audit

- B. Planning
- D. Signing of cheques and making payments
- F. Cost Estimation
- H. Record of labour employed
- J. Any other (please specify).

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Name of WCs	Date of Registration as a Society (dd/mm/ yyyy)	No. of members in WC	Designation	Name	M/F	SC	ST	SF Wri	MF te`	LF ``Ye	Land- less es" if	UG app	SHG	GP	Any other	Educl qualifi- cation	Function/s assigned#
			Chairman	Moheswar Rongpi	Μ		ST	SF								H.S	B,D,E,F,G,H
			V.Chairman	Anita Terangpi	F		ST									HSLC	B,D,E,F,G,H
Melaue		10	Secretary	Khorsing Engleng	Μ		ST	SF								HSLC	B,C,E,F,G,H,I,J Arrangement of WC meeting)
Engleng		Members	Member	Joydhash Terang	Μ		ST	SF								B.A	B, E
			Member	Bidya Rongpi	Μ		ST	SF								HSLC	В, Е
			Member	Reena Englengpi	F		ST									VIII	В, Е
			Member	Sobita Beypi	F		ST									IX	В, Е
			Member	Rongsopo Teron	М		ST	SF								H.S	В, Е
			Member	Sajen Teron	Μ		ST	SF								HSLC	В, Е
			Member	Rajiv Engti	Μ		ST	SF								VIII	В, Е

- A. PNP and PRA
- C. Maintenance of Accounts
- E. Supervision of construction activities
- G. Verification & Measurement
- I. Social Audit

- B. Planning
- D. Signing of cheques and making payments
- F. Cost Estimation
- H. Record of labour employed
- J. Any other (please specify).

					ſ			I			ſ	T	I	1	ſ	1	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Name	Date of Registration	No. of members in WC			M/F	sc	ST	SF	MF	LF	Land- less	UG	SHG	GP	Any other	Educl	
of WCs	Society (dd/mm/ yyyy)		Designation	Name				W	/rite `	"Ye	s" if a	applic	cable	9		qualifi- cation	Function/s assigned#
			Chairman	Witter Momin	М		ST		MF							IX	B,D,E,F,G,H
			V. Chairman	Moina Ronghangpi	F		ST									HSLC	B,D,E,F,G,H
Kania		10 Members	Secretary	Bikrom Bey	М		ST		MF							H.S	B,C,E,F,G,H,I,J Arrangement of WC meeting)
Веу		richbers	Member	Gilbart Marak	Μ		ST		MF							Х	B, E
			Member	Claip Sangma	Μ		ST		MF							B.A	В, Е
			Member	Alemson Sangma	Μ		ST		MF							IX	В, Е
			Member	Perioush Areng	F		ST		MF							B.A	В, Е
			Member	Babu Bey	Μ		ST		SF							VIII	В, Е
			Member	Moniram Bey	Μ		ST		SF							B.Com	В, Е
			Member	Kajir Lekthepi	F		ST		SF							HSLC	В, Е

- A. PNP and PRA
- C. Maintenance of Accounts
- E. Supervision of construction activities
- G. Verification & Measurement
- I. Social Audit

- B. Planning
- D. Signing of cheques and making payments
- F. Cost Estimation
- H. Record of labour employed
- J. Any other (please specify).

					T									1			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Name of	Date of Registration	No. of members				SC	ST	SF	MF	LF	Land- less	UG	SHG	GP	Any other	Educl	Function/s
WCs	as a Society (dd/mm/ yyyy)	in WC	Designation	Name	M/F			Wr	ite `	"Y€	es" if	app	licable	_	-	qualifi- cation	assigned#
			Chairman	Rajen Ronghang	М		ST	SF								H.S	B,D,E,F,G,H
			V. Chairman	Protima Killingpi	F		ST						SHG			IX	B,D,E,F,G,H
Kabuli Ronghang		10 Members	Secretary	Arun Phangcho	М		ST	SF								HSLC	B,C,E,F, G,H,I,J Arrange mentof WC meeting)
			Member	Bimol Ronghang	Μ		ST	SF								HSLC	B, E
			Member	Sem Ronghang	М		ST	SF								HSLC	В, Е
			Member	Devan Phangcho	М		ST	SF								VIII	В, Е
			Member	Harsing Phangcho	Μ		ST	SF								X	В, Е
			Member	Mukesh Ronghang	M		ST	SF					0110			IX	B, E
			Member	Kahan Phangchopi			SI						SHG			VIII	B, E
			Member	Hun Teronpi	F		ST						SHG			VIII	В, Е

Source:-Decision for Gram Sabha

PHOTOGRAPHS DURING WATERSHED COMMITTEE FORMATION



Table No 4.3: WDT Particulars:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
S.N o	Names of WDT members	M/F#	Age	Qualification / Experience	Description of professional training	Role/ Function*	Contanct
1	Rajen Taro	Μ	50	Graduate,	SCD (Sr)	A,B,C,E,F,G,H,I,	7002106530
2	Monarson Engleng	М	45	Diploma in Civil Engineering	J.E	B,E	7002640867
3	Purnajit Das	М	39	M.Sc	SCFW	B,E	7896965192
4	Dr. Serdihun Engtipi	F	-	Veterinary	Doctor	B,E	
5	Junaly Nath	F	-	DRDA	BDO	B,E	9101519220
6	Jitu Engti	М	-	Handloom & Textiles	Inspector	B,E	9435166844
7	Sanidha sorab borgohai	Μ	-	Agriculture	ADO	B,E	8638336218
8	Borsing Rongpi	М	-	Irrigation	Junior Engineer	B,E	7002586724
9	Monsing Lekthe	М	-	Fishery	Fishery Development AFO	B,E	9957014390
10	Sarlongki Kro	М	-	Sericulture	Demonstrator	B,E	9101242822

*In column 7 only the letter assigned, as below, needs to be typed, except for `J', where the type may be specifically mentioned.

- A. PNP and PRA
- C. Maintenance of Accounts
- E. Supervision of construction activities
- G. Verification & Measurement
- I. Social Audit

Source :-Nominated from Line Department.

- B. Planning
- D. Signing of cheques and making payments
- F. Cost Estimation
- H. Record of labour employed
- J. Any other (please specify).

Table No. 4.4: PIA particulars

1	2	3
S.No	Particulars	Details of PIA
1.	Type of organization#	WDC-PMKSY 2.0
2.	Name of organization	Soil Conservation Department
3.	Designation & Address	Divisional Officer, Diphu Soil Conservation
		Division, Karbi Anglong.
4.	Telephone	7086105104
5.	Fax	
6.	E-mail	soildiphu@gmail.com

In column no. 8.1.6 (1), only the letter assigned to each type, as given below, needs to be typed.

- A Line Dept.
- C Govt. Institute
- E Zila Parishad
- G Voluntary Organisations
- B Autonomous organization
- D Research Bodies
- F Intermediate Panchayat
- H Any other (please specify).

Source:- Divisional Officer, Diphu Soil Conservation Division, Diphu.

Table No. 4.5 Bank Account Details

Name of	Name of the	Account No.	Name of the	Address
WC/PIA	Bank/Place		Signatory	
				Divisional Officer
KA-WDC-2/2021-	State Bank of India		PIA cum Divisional	Diphu Soil
22 (Kantilangso)	Diphu bazaar	40754231169	Officer and PIA	Conservation
WDC-PMKSY 2.0	Branch		Accountant	Division, Diphu
				Karbi Anglong
Name of MWS	Name of the	Account No.	Name of the	Address
	Bank/Place		Signatory	
Jeng Ronghang		40690360533		Divisional Officer
Bajin Tokbi	State Bank of India	40690360588	WDT loador 9	Diphu Soil
Mekve Engleng	Diphu bazaar	40690360599	WDT leader &	Conservation
Kabuli Ronghang	Branch	40690360612		Division, Diphu
Kania Bey		40690360623		Karbi Anglong

Institutional Mechanisms: (Enclose the following documents)

- 4.6.1 Flow Chart of Institutional Arrangement from District to watershed level
- 4.6.2 Fund Flow mechanisms flow chart,
- 4.6.3 List of Watershed Records to be maintained

Documents of Agreements:

- 4.7.1) Watershed Committee Registration certificate
- 4.7.2 MoU PIA DWDU, PIA WC
- 4.7.3 Resolution of Gram Sabha , Aam Sabha, WC approving action plan#
- **Source:-** Divisional Officer, Diphu Soil Conservation Division, Diphu



Table No. 4.8 Convergence plan with IWMP: 4 5 6 7 1 2 3 Name of activity/task/structure Reference Estimated Names of proposed under Level of no. of Fund Period Departments convergence decision of activity/ Proposed (a) Structures S. No. with Schemes taken for Support Under task/ converging with (b) livelihoods convergence (Years) Convergence structure Block/district IWMP (c) Capacity Building in DPR (in Rs.) (d) Any other (pl. specify) 1 -----------2 -----------3 -----------4 ------------WDC-PMKSY 5 ------------2.0 6 -----------7 ----------8 ----------9 ----------___ 10 Total

CHAPTER – 5

Management/Action Plan

Description on methodology of plan adopted

- a) Awareness generation interventions (Nukkad Natak, Video show, Exposure, Wall painting, door to door campaign, posters, pamphlets, village meeting etc)
- b) Initial Orientation program (Concept seeding, About Watershed?/Watershed
 Management?Importance of peoples participation in planning and implementation.)
- c) Formation process UGs & Watershed Committee
- d) DPR preparation process:
 - 1. Data Collection –Secondary Data Collection, SE Survey, PRA tools (Social map, matrix ranking wealth ranking, seasonality of labour, migration, crop, disease, Resource map,

Transect walk) , sample collection & testing

- Planning Process PNP, FGDs, IB and CB plans (by utilizing the PNP formats and input data sheets)
- 3. Mapping
- 4. Hydro-geological Survey
- 5. Public-Private partnership
- 6. Consolidation & preparation of DPR document Approval by Aam Sabha/Gram Sabha





Details of Natural Resource Management Activities Table No. 5.2.1 Soil and Moisture Conservation structures 3 2 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 1 4 6 Area (in Year of **GPS** Points Ha)/ Total Name of the Name of Total Implem **Plot Numbers** Dimensio Hamlet the Name of Cost (Grant en Unit (including Contribution / Village **Beneficiaries** n(in M/Rs. in Activities Amount Lat tation Long Cost Name of the Sq. M / (Structur Lakh) (Rs. in (1st/2n)MWS local Patch) CuM) of es) Lakh) d/ Structure 3rd/4th / 5th) All villagers & 0.00355/R Jeng 845.07/RM 1st ,3rd 3.00 0.15 3.00 26.27.7406 93.10.7919 farmers Ronghang Jeng m All villagers & 1126.76/R 0.00355/R Rongha 4.00 0.20 1st.2nd. 26.27.4896 93.04.4464 Horchot Taro 4.00 farmers Μ ng m All villagers & 0.00355/R Bajin 425/RM 1.50875 3rd, Bajin Tokbi Hidipi 0.075 1.50875 26.26.9602 93.08.862 farmers Tokbi m Mekwe *Nala Bund All villagers & 0.00355/R 3rd, Jeng Rongpi 594.72/RM 2.11125 0.105 2.11125 26.27.0881 93.06.8499 Rongman Engleng farmers m Kabuli Kabuli All villagers & 0.00355/R 1st Rongha 563.3/RM 93.08.3137 2.000.10 2.00 26.25.0491 Ronghang farmers m ng Kania All villagers & 0.00355/R 673/RM 2.3875 3rd, Nopakghat 0.12 2.3875 26.26.6252 93.06.6588 Bey farmers m *Land Kabuli Kabuli All villagers & 2nd Rongha Developmen 3.5 HA 1.50 4.50 0.225 4.50 26.25.8383 93.07.856 Ronghang farmers t ng All villagers & Bajin 3rd,5th, Bajin Tokbi Kantilangso 2.55 Ha 10.6552 26.27.1855 93.09.3522 *Bench 2.1763 0.47 10.6552 Tokbi farmers Terracing Mekwe Mekwe All villagers & *Steggered 2nd, 2.55/Ha. 93.067126 Rongphu 1.0 Ha. 2.55 0.128 2.55 26.270834 Engleng Engleng farmers Trenching All villagers & Jeng Jeng 2nd *Bench 1.0 Ha. 2.55/Ha. 2.55 26.27.5801 93.10.6012 2.55 0.128 Rongha Ronghang farmers Terracing 3rd, Horchot Taro All villagers & 0.75 Ha 2.55/Ha. 1.9125 0.096 1.9125 26.27.5801 93.09.4408 ng

				1							1	1
				farmers								
Bajin Tokbi		Chakra Bey	Malong ari	All villagers& farmers	0.86 Ha	2.55/Ha.	2.195	0.11	2.195	2 nd ,	26.27.4163	93.08.3365
Kania		Kania Bey		All villagers& farmers	2.124 Ha	2.55/Ha.	5.4175	0.27	5.4175	1 st ,	26.25.3748	93.06.1201
Веу		Nopakghat		All villagers& farmers	1.0 Ha.	2.55/Ha.	2.55	0.13	2.55	2 nd ,		
		Su	ub-total		-	-	47.3377		47.3377	-	-	-
Jeng Rongha ng		Horchot Taro	Langlese	All villagers & farmers	66.60 RM	0.045	3.00	0.15	3.00	1 st ,	26.27.4355	93.10.7456
Jeng Rongha		Jeng Ronghang		All villagers & farmers	333.99 RM	0.045	15.00	0.75	15.00	1 st ,2 nd , ,3 rd ,	26.27.2682	93.04.4464
ng		Jonasing Killing		All villagers & farmers	66.66 RM	0.045	3.00	0.15	3.00	2 nd	26.27.2601	93.09.4493
Bajin		Bajin Tokbi	Hidipiso	All villagers & farmers	111.11RM	0.045	5.00	0.25	5.00	1 st	26.27.5951	93.09.2075
Tokbi	Others - *Brick Channel	Chakra Bey		All villagers & farmers	200.00 RM	0.045	9.00	0.45	9.00	2 nd	26.27.5398	93.08.6594
Mekwe		Mekwe Engleng	Cheklangso	All villagers & farmers	187.77 RM	0.045	17.45	0.423	17.45	1 st ,2 nd ,	26.26.7359	93.07.621
Engleng		Jeng Rongpi		All villagers & farmers	255.56 RM	0.045	11.50	0.575	11.50	3 rd	26.26.9166	93.06.8499
Kabuli Rongha ng		Kabuli Ronghang		All villagers & farmers	111.11 RM	0.045	5.00	0.25	5.00	1 st ,	26.25.6713	90.07.7212
Kania Bey		Kania Bey		All villagers & farmers	311.11 RM	0.045	14.00	0.70	14.00	1 st ,3 rd ,	26.25.044	93.07.2119
	Sı	ub-total of Ot	hers - Brick Ch	annel	-	-	82.95		82.95	-	-	-
	Sub-tot	al of Soil and struc	Moisture Cons tures	servation	-	-	130.2877		130.2877	-	-	-
							. I				•	

Table No. 5	5.2.2 Water	r Harvesting :	Structures									
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
MW S	Name of the Activities (Structures)	Name of the Hamlet / Village	Plot Numbers (including Name ofthe local Patch)	Name of Beneficiaries	Area (in Ha)/ Dimension (in M/ Sq. M / CuM) of Structure	Unit Cost	TotalCost (Rs. inLakh)	Contributio n	Total Grant Amount(Rs. in Lakh)	Year of Implementat ion (1st/2nd/3r	Long	Lat
Bajin Tokbi	Safe Disposal Unit	Bajin Tokbi		All villagers & farmers	1 No	9.00	9.00	0.45	9.00	1 st	26.27.4854	93.09.0309
Jeng Ronghang		Horchot Taro	Langlutso	All villagers & farmers	1 No.	8.0 /No.	8.00	0.40	8.00	1 st ,	26.27.4355	93.10.7456
Mekwe Engleng	*Water Harvesting	Jeng Rongpi	Rongkimi	All villagers & farmers	1 No.	8.0 /No.	8.00	0.40	8.00	2 nd ,	26.26.9166	93.06.8499
Kania bey		Kania bey		All villagers & farmers	1 No.	8.0 /No.	8.00	0.40	8.00	3 rd ,	26.25.467	93.07.9307
Bajin Tokbi	*800	Bajin Tokbi	Henlongchor	All villagers & farmers	1 No.	6.0/No.	6.00	0.30	6.00	1 st ,	26.26.7355	93.09.0309
Kabuli Ronghang	Check	Kabuli Ronghang		All villagers & farmers	1 No.	6.0/No.	6.00	0.30	6.00	1 st ,	26.25.6713	93.07.7212
Kania Bey	uum	Nopakghat		All villagers & farmers	1 No.	5.0/No.	5.00	0.25	5.00	2 nd ,	26.26.624	93.06.6621
Bajin Tokbi	*Water	Chakra Bey		All villagers & farmers	1 No.	10.0/No.	10.00	0.50	10.00	1 st ,	26.27.4421	93.08.314
Mekwe	Storage Tank with	Jeng Rongpi		All villagers & farmers	1 No.	12.0/No.	12.0	0.60	12.0	1 st ,	26.26.9166	93.06.8499
Engleng	Pipe line	Mekwe Engleng		All villagers & farmers	1 No.	7.50/No.	7.50	0.375	7.50	3 rd ,	26.26.9414	93.06.8836
Jeng Ronghang	*Water Resever	Jonasing Killing		All villagers & farmers	1 No.	6.00/No.	6.00	0.30	6.00	2 nd	26.27.5325	93.09.6336
Jeng Ronghang	*Pond	Jeng Ronghang	Langchobei	All villagers & farmers	2No.	3.0/No	6.00	0.30	6.00	1 st ,3 rd ,	26.27.4043	93.09.4894

	Jonasing	All villa farn	ners 1 No.	3.0/No.	3.00	0.15	3.00	1 st ,	26.26.5053	93.09.1907
	Mojari Tisso	All villa farn	ners & 1 No.	3.0/No.	3.00	0.15	3.00	5 th	26.270276	93.123643
Bajin Tokbi	Bajin Tokbi	All villa farn	gers & 2 No.	3.75/No.	7.50	0.375	7.50	3 rd ,4 th ,	26.27.1754	93.09.3201
Mekwe	Jeng Rongpi	All villa farn	ners & 2No.	3.33/No.	7.00	0.50	7.00	1 st ,4 th	26.26.682	93.06.5812
Engleng	Mekwe Engleng	All villa farn	ners & 3 No.	3.90/No.	10.50	0.975	15.50	1 st ,2 nd ,3 rd ,4 th	26.26.8189	93.07.6577
Kabuli Ronghang	Sarso Bey	All villa farn	ners & 1 No.	4.0/No.	4.00	0.20	4.00	5 th	26.252283	93.107457
Kania Bey	Kania bey	All villa farn	ners & 2 No.	3.25/No.	6.50	0.325	6.50	1 st ,2 nd ,	26.25.4556	93.07.4251
	Thang Teron	All villa farn	ners 1 No.	3.50/No.	3.50	0.175	3.50	2 nd ,	26.267145	93.061442
		Sub-Total			141.50		141.50			

Table No. 5.2.2 Water Harvesting Structures

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
			Dist		Area (in						GI Poi	PS nts
SMM	Name of the Activit ies (Struct ures)	Name of the Hamlet / Village	Numbe rs (includin g Name ofthe local Patch)	Name of Beneficiaries	Ha)/ Dimens ion (in M/ Sq. M / CuM) of Structu re	Unit Cost	Total Cost (Rs. in Lakh)	Contribution	Total Grant Amou nt(Rs. in Lakh)	Year of Implementat ion (1st/2nd/3r d/4th/5th)	Long	Lat
Jeng		Jeng Ronghang		All villagers & farmers	2 No.	2.00/No.	4.00	0.20	4.00	1 st ,2 nd ,	26.27.8439	93.10.8368
ng		Jonasing killing		All villagers & farmers	1 No.	2.00/No.	2.00	0.10	2.00	1 st ,	26.27.5704	93.09.3971
Bajin		Bajin Tokbi		All villagers & farmers	2 No.	2.00/No.	4.00	0.20	4.00	1 st ,3 rd ,	26.26.7355	93.09.2075
Tokbi	*Dorooloti	Chakra Bey		All villagers & farmers	1 No.	2.00/No.	2.00	0.10	2.00	1 st ,	26.27.6209	93.08.9952
Mekwe	on Tank	Mekwe Engleng		All villagers & farmers	2 No.	2.00/No.	4.00	0.20	4.00	1 st ,2 nd ,	26.26.8878	93.06.7521
Engleng		Jeng Rongpi		All villagers & farmers	2 No.	2.00/No.	4.00	0.20	4.00	1 st ,2 nd ,	26.26.9555	93.06.8778
Kabuli Rongha ng		Kabuli Ronghang		All villagers & farmers	1 No.	2.00/No.	2.00	0.10	2.00	2 nd ,	26.24.144	93.07.5308
Kania Bey		Kania Bey		All villagers & farmers	1 No.	2.00/No.	2.00	0.10	2.00	2 nd ,	26.25.0345	93.07.2228
		S	ub Total				24.00		24.00			
	Sub	–Total Wate	r Harvestin	g Structures			165.50		165.50			

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
	Name of	Name of	Plot	Name of	Area (in Ha)/ Dimensi		Total		Total Grant	Year of	GI Poi	PS nts
SWM	the Activities (Structur es)	the Hamlet /Village	Numbers (including Name of the local Patch)	Beneficiaries	on (in M/ Sq. M / CuM) of Structur e	Unit Cost	Cost (Rs. in Lakh)	Contribution	Amoun t(Rs. in Lakh)	tation (1st/2nd/ 3r d/4th/5th)	Long	Lat
Bajin Tokbi	*Drainage Channel with Boulder	Bajin Tokbi		All villagers & farmers	173.30 RM	0.075	13.00	0.65	13.00	2 nd ,	26.27.2455	93.08.9296
Mekwe Englen	*Drainage	Jeng Rongpi		All villagers & farmers	46.60 RM	0.075	3.50	0.175	3.50	2 nd ,	26.26.9166	93.06.8499
Kania Bey	Channel	Nopakghat		All villagers & farmers	73.33 RM	0.075	5.50	0.275	5.50	2 nd ,	26.26.624	93.06.6621
		Jeng Ronghang		All villagers & farmers	3.033 Ha	0.75	2.275	0.114	2.275	1 st , 5 th ,	26.27.4416	93.09.4303
		Horchot Taro		All villagers & farmers	7.466 Ha	0.75	4.10	0.28	4.10	2 nd ,3 rd ,	26.27.6335	93.10.729
Jeng Ronghang		Jonasing Killing		All villagers & farmers	5.133 Ha	0.75	3.85	0.20	3.85	2 nd ,5 th	26.27.4834	93.09.8826
	*Bamboo Plantation	Pok-et Bey		All villagers & farmers	1.0 Ha.	0.75	0.75	0.038	0.75	3 th		
		Sonapur		All villagers & farmers	1.0 Ha.	0.75	0.75	0.038	0.75	3 th		
Kabuli Ronghang		Kabuli Ronghang		All villagers & farmers	6.00 Ha	0.75	3.55	0.245	3.55	2 nd ,4 th ,	26.25.8383	93.07.856
Mekve Engleng		Mulajan		All villagers & farmers	0.9 Ha	0.75	0.675		0.675	4 th		
Kania Bey		Kangnek		All villagers &	0.9 Ha	0.75	0.675		0.675	4 th		

		Tokbi	farmers								
Jeng Ronghang		Horchot Taro	All villagers & farmers	9.50 Ha	0.85	8.075	0.47	8.075	2 nd ,3 rd ,4 th 5 th	26.27.6335	93.10.729
Bajin Tokbi		Chakra Bey	All villagers & farmers	4.43 Ha	0.85	4.0225	0.244	4.0225	3 rd ,4 th ,5 th		
Kania Bey		Thang Teron	All villagers & farmers	1 Ha.	0.85	1.70	0.09	1.70	3 rd ,	26.267150	93.061448
	*Broom Plantation	Sarthe Rongpi	All villagers & farmers	1 Ha	0.85	0.85	0.043	1.70	3 rd ,		
		Mekwe Engleng	All villagers & farmers	1.5 Ha	0.85	1.275	0.064	1.275	5 th	26.26.7644	93.07.8878
Mekwe Engleng		Bura Phangcho	All villagers & farmers	0.736 Ha.	0.85	0.625	0.036	0.625	4 th		
		Angjok Tokbi	All villagers & farmers	0.736 Ha.	0.85	0.625	0.036	0.625	4 th		
Jeng		Jonasing Killing	All villagers & farmers	3.084 Ha	2.945	9.0825	0.45	9.0825	1 st ,2 nd ,4 th ,	26.27.3494	93.09.838
Ronghang		Jeng Ronghang	All villagers & farmers	1.0 Ha	2.945	2.945	0.15	2.945	4 th ,	26.27.4468	93.09.5465
Kania Roy		Nopakghat	All villagers & farmers	1.25 Ha	2.945	9.57125	0.18	9.57125	1 st ,3 rd ,	26.26.2619	93.09.3833
Kallia Dey		Kania Bey	All villagers & farmers	1.969 Ha	2.945	5.89	0.30	5.89	2 nd ,	26.28.4881	93.07.7986
Kabuli	Arecanut	Kabuli Ronghang	All villagers & farmers	4.0 Ha	2.945	11.78	0.44	11.78	1 st ,3 rd ,5 th	26.26.2619	93.09.3866
Ronghang		Bhim Teron	All villagers & farmers	1.0 Ha.	2.945	2.945	0.16	2.945	3 th		
		Sarthe Rongpi	All villagers & farmers	1.25 Ha.	2.945	3.68125	0.18	3.68125	1 st ,	26.278641	93.05.3155
Kania Bey		Thang Teron	All villagers & farmers	1.0 Ha	2.945	2.945	0.15	2.945	2 nd ,	26.267148	93.01446
		Habe Kro	All villagers & farmers	1.0 Ha	2.945	2.945	0.15	2.945	2 nd ,	26.280626	93.060201
		Sub-To	tal	-	-	108.4325		108.4325	-	-	-
				95							

Table No	o. 5.2.5 Land	l Developi	ment (Prod	uctive use)								
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
	Name of	Name	Plot	Name of Beneficiaries	Area (in Ha)/ Dimension (in M/Sa	Unit	Total	c	Total Grant	Year of Implemen	GF Po ts	PS in s
MWS	Activities (Structur es)	Hamle t / Village	(including Name of the local Patch)	Denenciaries	M / CuM) of Structur e	Cost	(Rs. in Lakh)	Contributio	t(Rs. in Lakh)	(1st/2nd/ 3r d/4th/5th)	Long	Lat
Kania	Rubber Plantation	Kania Bey		All villagers & farmers	6.0 Ha	1.25	7.50	0.31	7.50	2 nd ,4 th ,5 th	26.25.5506	93.06.2863
Веу	Horticulture	Nopakgh at		All villagers & farmers	2.094 Ha	1.95	4.085	0.072	4.085	4 th ,5 th ,	26.25.5506	93.06.2863
Bajin Tokbi	Assam Lemon	Bajin Tokbi		All villagers & farmers	0.576 Ha	1.95	1.1248	0.056	1.1248	5 th	26.25.5506	93.06.2863
Bajin Tokbi	*Earthen Checkdam	Bajin Tokbi		All villagers & farmers	1 No.	3.00/No.	3.00	0.15	3.00	2 nd ,	26.27.4843	93.09.0176
Bajin		Bajin Tokbi		All villagers & farmers	986/ RM	0.00355/R M	3.50	0.175	3.50	2 nd ,		
Tokbi	*Earthen Channel	Chakra Bey		All villagers & farmers	1014/RM	0.00355/R M	3.60	0.18	3.60	2 nd ,		
Kania Bey		Nopakgh at		All villagers & farmers	1409/RM	0.00355/R M	5.00	0.25	5.00	1 st ,	26.26.6258	93.06.7843
Kania bey	*Contour Bunding	Nopakgh at		All villagers & farmers	150/RM	0.015/RM	2.25	0.11	2.25	2 nd ,	26.26.3127	93.06.2563
		S	ub-Total		-	-	30.0598		30.0598	-	-	-
	Sub-Total o	f Land De	velopment	(Productive use)			137.6423		137.6423			

Structure or Activity Wise Details of Engineering Structure and Vegetative MeasuresTable No.5.3.1 : Engineering structures for Soil Conservation Measures (SMC)

(M – Materials, W- wages, O- others, T – Total)

1	2			3				4			
]	Proposed plan		
S. No.	Name of structures	Area(ha)	Farmers	Total units (No./ cu.m./ rmt/Ha.)	UNIT COST (Rs. in Lakh)	E	Estimated c	ost* (Rs. ir	ı lakh)	Farmers contributi on(Rs. in lakh)	Grant Portion (Rs. in lakh)
					Lainij	М	W	0	Т		
A	PRIVATE LAND										
	*Nala bund	4.80 Ha	12 Nos.	3381.97 Rm	0.00355/ Rm	0.606	10.80	0.60	12.006	0.6003	12.006
	*Brick Channel	16.86 Ha	102 Nos.	2622.22Rm	0.045/ Rm	70.80	42.48	4.72	118.00	5.90	118.00
	Sub total (A)		-	-	-	71.406	53.28	5.32	130.006	6.5003	130.006
В	COMMON LAND		-								
	*Nala bund	1.201 Ha	3 Nos.	845.49Rm	0.00355/ Rm	0.1515	2.70	0.15	3.0015	0.150075	3.0015
	*Staggered Trenching	1.0 Ha.	3 Nos.	1.0 Ha.	2.55/Ha	0.2295	1.785	0.5355	2.55	0.1275	2.55
	*Bench Terracing	8.91 Ha.	27 Nos.	6.24 Ha.	2.55/Ha.	2.046	19.321	1.3632	22.7302	1.13651	22.7302
	Sub total (B)		-	-	-	2.6765	20.37	5.2352	28.2817	1.414085	28.2817
	Grand total (A+B)		-	-	-	74.0825	73.65	10.5552	158.2877	7.914385	158.2877

: Details of engineering structures for Water Harvesting WHS 5.3.2 M-Materials, W- wages, O- others, T – Total

1	2	3		4					
						Propose plan	ed		
S. No.	Name of structures	Total units (No./ cu.m./ rmt)	UNIT COST (Rs. in Lakh)		Estimated cost*(Rs. in lakh)				Grant Portion (Rs. in lakh)
				М	W	0	Т		
Α	PRIVATE LAND								
A1	Individual structures		-						
A2	Common structures								
i.	*Pond	16 Nos.	3.53	5.50	49.72	1.28	56.50	2.825	56.50
ii	Safe Disposal Unit	1 No.	9.00	6.30	1.80	0.90	9.00	0.45	9.00
iii	*Water Harvesting	3 Nos.	3.0	4.50	2.70	0.30	7.50	0.375	7.50
iv	*Percolation Tank	11 Nos.	2.0	1.35	19.80	0.85	22.00	1.10	22.00
	Sub Total	-	-	17.65	74.02	3.33	95.00	4.75	95.00
В	COMMON LAND								
i.	*Water Reservoir with pipe line	4 Nos.	8.875	24.85	7.10	3.55	35.50	1.775	35.50
ii.	*RCC Check dams	3 Nos.	7.50	13.50	8.10	0.90	22.50	1.125	22.50
	Sub total	-	-	38.35	15.20	4.5	58.00	2.9025	58.00
	Grand total (A+B)	-	-	56.00	89.22	7.83	153.05	7.6525	153.00

Table No. 5.3.5: Details of activities connected with Land Development (Productive use)*

1	2	3		4					
				Proposed plan					
S. No.	Name of structures	Total units (No./ cu.m./ rmt/Ha.)	UNIT COST (Rs. in Lakh)	Estimated cost*(Rs. in lakh)				Farmers contributi on (R)	Grant Portion (Rs. in lakh)
				М	W	0	Т		
Α	PRIVATE LAND								
A 1	Individual uses		-						
1	Horticulture	19.13 Ha	2.945/Ha	32.654	18.96123	4.7292	56.3443	2.81722	56.3443
2	Rubber Plantation	6.0 Ha	1.25/ Ha	4.50	2.25	0.75	7.50	0.375	7.50
	Subtotal (A1)	-	-	37.154	21.21123	5.4792	63.8443	3.19222	63.8443
A2	Common uses								
1	*Bamboo	27.5364	0.70/ Ha	9.625	6.7375	2.913	19.2755	0.9638	19.2755
2	*Broom	21.2029	0.85/Ha	4.5056	9.01125	4.50565	18.0225	0.901125	18.0225
3	*Earthen Check Dam	4253.52	0.00355/ Rm.	0.85	12.835	1.415	15.10	0.755	15.10
4	*Contour Bunding	150 RM.	0.015/ Rm.	0.15	1.80	0.30	2.25	0.1125	2.25
5	*Land Development	1.5 Ha.	3.0 /Ha.	0.675	2.25	1.575	4.50	0.225	4.50
	Subtotal (A2)	-	-	15.8056	32.63375	10.70865	59.148	2.957425	59.148
	Sub total (B)	-	-						
	Grand total (A1+A2)	-	-	53.9596	53.84498	16.18785	123.9923	6.149645	122.9923

<u>Chapter</u>

Springshed Management.

The project Karbi Anglong-WDC-2/2021-22 (Kantilangso) WDC-PMKSY 2.0 is located on the plain and hilly region of Karbi Anglong District with few streams and springs. The watershed areas experiences heavy and intense rainfall with increase surface runoff during monsoon leading to soil erosion and siltation of water bodies downstream, drought like situation during winter season leading to acute scarcity of water for drinking and Agricultural used. Practice of Jhoom and burn cultivation, deforestation which resulted in land degradation, reduces rainwater recharge affecting sustainability of springs, even drying up of springs. Springs are the essential source of water in the hill district for drinking and for irrigation, Seasonal and overall decline discharge in springs has affected both domestic water availability in villages and Agricultural productivity. Thus, decline of the springs and groundwater system threaten water security of the hill population of the project area.So, there is an urgent need to revive springs and underground flows and ensure sustainable and efficient use of augmented water.

Spring shed activities are taken in the recharge and discharge zones in forest areas as well as in Agriculture field and wasteland to restore, develop and manage springshed.

Photograph of Springshed in the project


Photograph of Springshed in the project area



Five years Springshed Management activities

Sl	Springshed Activities	Year of	Target		CPS Location	Area Benefitted	Romarks
No.	Springsheu Aeuvides	Implementation	Physical	Financial	di 5 Eocation	Mea Denentted	Kennar K5
1	Bamboo Plantation	2021-22	1.63 Ha	1.225	26.274416 93.094303	4.37Ha	
2	Arecanut		1.25 Ha.	3.68125	26°278641 93°053155	13.14 Ha.	
	Total			4.90625			
3	Broom Plantation		4.0 Ha	3.40	26.276335 93.10729	12.14Ha	
4	Bamboo Plantation		5.0 Ha	3.50	26.276335 93.10729	12.5Ha	
5	Bench Terracing		1.0 Ha.	2.55	26°27.5801 93°10.6012	9.11Ha	
6	Bamboo Plantation		4.0 Ha	2.80	26.274834 93.098826	10.0Ha	
7	Bench Terracing	2.22-23	0.86/Ha	2.195	26.27.4163 93.08.3365	7.83Ha	
8	Staggered Trenching		1 Ha.	2.55	26.270834 93.067126	9.10 Ha.	
9	Arecanut		1.0 Ha	2.945	26.26.7148 93.06.1446	10.51 Ha	
10	Arecanut		1.0 Ha	2.945	26.28.0626 93.06.0281	10.51 Ha	
11	Bamboo Plantation		4.0 Ha	2.80	26.258383 93.07856	10.Ha	
12	Rubber Plantation	bber Plantation	3.0 Ha	3.75	26.255506 93.062863	13.39Ha	
	Total			29.435			

Sl		Year of	Tar	get	CDG I	Area	
No.	Springshed Activities	Implementation	Phy.	Fin.	GPS Location	Benefitted	Remarks
1	Bench Terracing		2.5500/Ha	5.555	26.271855 93.093522	19.84Ha	
2	Bench Terracing		2.55/Ha.	1.275	26.27.6002 93.08.9382	4.55Ha	
3	*Broom Plantation	2023-24	1.0 Ha.	0.85	26.27.5403 93.05.0012	3.03 Ha.	
4	*Broom Plantation	Year	2.0 Ha.	1.70	26.26.7150 93.06.1448	6.07 Ha.	
5	*Broom Plantation		3.0 Ha	2.55	26.274160 93.083368	6.07 Ha.	
6	Bamboo Plantation		3.0 Ha	2.10	26.27.4185 93.04.3961	7.5Ha	
7	Bamboo Plantation		3.0 Ha	2.10	26.27.4185 93.04.3961	7.5Ha	
	Total			16.13			
8	Broom Plantation		0.70/Ha	2.10	26.286347 93.11730	7.5Ha	
9	Bamboo Plantation		0.90/Ha	0.675	26.293151 93.068827	7.5Ha	
10	Broom Plantation	2024-25 Year	0.736/Ha	0.625	26.298096 93.077062	2.23 Ha	
11	Broom Plantation		0.736/Ha	0.625	26.301558 93.081515	2.23 Ha	
12	Rubber Plantation		2.0/Ha	2.50	26.255506 93.062863	8.93 Ha	
	Total			6.525			

		Year of	Та	rget		Area	_
SI No.	Springshed Activities	Implementation	Phy.	Fin.	GPS Location	Benefitted	Remarks.
1	Broom Plantation		1.5 Ha	1.275	26.267644 93.078878	4.55Ha	
2	Broom Plantation		1.0 Ha	0.85	26.274168 93.083369	3.03Ha	
3	Bamboo Plantation		1.23 Ha	1.05	26.274834 93.098826	3.75Ha	
4	Bamboo Plantation	2025-26 Year	1.23 Ha	1.05	26.274416 93.094303	3.75Ha	
5	Bench Terracing		1.51 Ha	3.8252	26.274855 93.0930310	13.66Ha	
6	Rubber Plantation		1.25/Ha	1.25	26.255506 93.062863	4.46Ha	
7	Assam Lemon		0.576	1.1248	26.274861 93.0930372	4.01 Ha	
	Total			10.425			

12SI. No .Name of the Activities1Broom Making2Weaving	3 Name of the Hamlet / Village	4 Plot Numbers (5	6		7	8	9	10
SI. No .Name of the Activities1Broom Making2Weaving	Name of the Hamlet / Village	Plot Numbers (•	5	10
1Broom Making2Weaving		the local Patch)	Name of Beneficiaries	Area (in Ha)	Unit Cost	Total Cost (in Rs.)	Contribution	Total Grant Amount (in Rs)	Year of Implementatio n (1st/2nd/3rd/4 th/5th)
1 Broom Making 2 Weaving	Bajin Tokbi			1 No.	2.31	2.31		2.31	5 th ,
2 Weaving	Chakra Bey			1 No.	2.31	2.31		2.31	5 th ,
2 Weaving	Horchot Taro			1 No	3.50	3.50		3.50	4 th ,
2 Weaving	Jeng Ronghang			1 No.	3.50	3.50		3.50	4 th ,
2 Weaving	Mekwe Engleng			1 No.	2.31	2.31		2.31	5 th ,
2 Weaving	Jeng Rongpi			1 No.	5.00	2.31		2.31	5 th ,
2 Weaving	Kabuli Ronghang			1 No.	3.62	3.62		3.62	4 th ,
2 Weaving	Bajin Tokbi			1 No.	9.24	9.24		9.24	1 st ,
2 Weaving	Jonasing Killing			1 No.	7.70	7.70		7.70	2 nd ,
	Mekwe Engleng			1 No.	7.70	7.70		7.70	2 nd ,
	Kabuli Ronghang			1 No.	7.70	7.70		7.70	2 nd ,
	Bajin Tokbi			1 No.	9.24	9.24		9.24	3 rd
	Jeng Ronghang			1 No.	9.24	9.24		9.24	3 rd
	Mekve Engleng			1 No.	9.24	9.24		9.24	3 rd
3 Handloom	Kania Bey			1 No.	9.24	9.24		9.24	3 rd
	Nopakghat			1 No.	9.24	9.24		9.24	3 rd
	Theng Teron			1 No.	9.24	9.24		9.24	4 th ,
	Dojoi Kro			1 No.	9.24	9.24		9.24	4 th ,
Mushroom	Mekve Engleng			1 No.	4.00	4.00		4.00	3 rd ,
4 Factory	Thang Teron			1 No.	4.00	4.00		4.00	4 th
5 Ginger	Jeng Rongpi			2.69	1.95	5.24		5.24	3 rd ,
Cultivation	Sarthe Rongpi			2.00	1.95	3.90		3.90	4 th ,
6 Nopakghat	Fruit Processing			1 No.	3.00	3.00		3.00	4 th
7 Kania Bey	Cycle & Bike repairing			1 No.	1.58	1.58		1.58	4 th
	Tota	1				138.60		138.60	

1	2	3	4	5	6		7	8	9	10
SI. No.	Name of the Activities	Name of the Hamlet / Village	Plot Noumbers (including Name of the local Patch)	Name of Beneficiari es	Area (in Ha)	Unit Cost	Total Cost (in Rs.)	Contribution	Total Grant Amoun t (in Rs)	Year of Implementation (1st/2nd/3rd/41 h/5th)
		Bajin Tokbi	-		6.529	0.85/Ha	5.55		5.55	2 nd ,4 th ,
		Chakra Bey			6.529	0.85/Ha	5.55		5.55	2 nd ,4 th ,
		Jeng Ronghang			3.529	0.85/Ha	3.00		3.00	2 nd ,
		Horchot Taro			9.00	0.85/Ha	8.10		8.10	1 st , 2 nd ,4 th ,
		Mekwe Engleng			7.529	0.85/Ha	6.40		6.40	1 st , 2 nd ,4 th
1	*Broom	Jeng Rongpi			3.529	0.85/Ha	3.00		3.00	2 nd ,
T	Plantation	Kabuli Ronghang			4.529	0.85/Ha	3.85		3.85	2 nd ,4 th
		Kania Bey			3.529	0.85/Ha	3.00		3.00	2 nd ,
		Jonasing Killing			1.0	0.85/Ha	0.85		0.85	4 th
		Angjok Tokbi			1.0	0.85/Ha	0.85		0.85	4 th
		Bura Phangcho			1.0	0.85/Ha	0.85		0.85	4 th
		Mulajan			1.0	0.85/Ha	0.85		0.85	4 th
		Jeng Ronghang			3.0	0.75/Ha	2.25		2.25	1 st
h	*Bamboo	Jeng Rongpi			2.52	0.75/Ha	1.89		1.89	1 st
Z	Plantation	Kania Bey			2.5206	0.75/Ha	1.8905		1.8905	4 th
3	Pineapple	Nopakghat			3.169	1.95/Ha	6.18		6.18	2 nd ,3 rd ,
		Bajin Tokbi			2.00	2.945/Ha	5.89		5.89	3 rd
		Chakra Bey			2.00	2.945/Ha	5.89		5.89	3 rd
		Jeng Ronghang			0.85	2.945/Ha	2.50325		2.50325	4 th
		Horchot Taro			2.00	2.945/Ha	5.89		5.89	3 rd
		Jonasing Killing			2.00	2.945/Ha	5.89		5.89	3 rd
Д	Arecanut	Mekwe Engleng			2.00	2.945/Ha	5.89		5.89	3 rd ,
-	Arccanat	Jeng Rongpi			2.00	2.945/Ha	5.89		5.89	3 rd ,
		Kabuli Ronghang			2.00	2.945/Ha	5.89		5.89	3 rd ,
		Kania Bey			2.00	2.945/Ha	5.89		5.89	3 rd ,
		Mojari Tisso			1.40	2.945/Ha	4.123		4.123	4 th
		Pok-et Bey			0.85	2.945/Ha	2.50325		2.50325	4 th
		Sonapur			1.0	2.945/Ha	2.945		2.945	4 th

		Bhim Teron	1.0	2.945/Ha	2.945	2.945	4 th
		Sarso Bey	1.0	2.945/Ha	2.945	2.945	4 th
		Kangnek Tokbi	1.0	2.945/Ha	2.945	2.945	4 th
		Jonasing Killing	0.954	1.95/Ha	1.86	1.86	2 nd
5	Horticulture	Mekwe Engleng	2.051	1.95/Ha	4.00	4.00	3 rd
		Nopakghat	1.908	1.95/Ha	3.72	3.72	4 th
4	Fichory	Bajin Tokbi	1 No.	3.50/No	3.50	3.50	5 th ,
4	FISHELY	Jeng Rongpi	1 No.	3.45/No	3.43	3.43	5 th
		Total			138.60	138.60	

<u>Chapter 6</u> Capacity Building Plan

Table No. 6.1 Details of Capacity Building

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
SI. No.	Name of the Training & Exposure (Knowledge, Skill, etc. at both <i>Being</i> and Doing level)	Number of events	Number of Participants in an event	Total Number of days per event	Total Traineedays (= 3 x 4 x 5)	Cost per Traineeday (in Rs)	Total Cost required for the programme (= 6 x7 ; in Rs.)	Total Grant Amount (in Rs)	Year of Implementation (1st/2nd/3rd/4th/5th)	Monitoring Indicators
SHG	/ UG / WC / PI related	1								
1	SHG Related	10	50	1	500	200	1	1.0	1st Year	By PIA
	SHG Related	10	50	1	500	200	1	1.0	2nd Year	
2	WC Related	5	50	1	250	200	0.5	0.5	1st Year	п
	WC Related	5	50	1	250	200	0.5	0.5	2nd Year	
3	PI Awareness	5	100	1	500	300	1.5	1.5	1st Year	"
	PI Awareness	4	100	1	400	300	1.2	1.2	2nd Year	
4	UG Related	5	50	1	250	200	0.5	0.5	1st Year	"
	UG Related	5	50	1	250	200	0.5	0.5	2nd Year	
	PI Awareness	3	20	1	60	300	0.18	0.18	1st Year	
	WC Related	5	50	1	250	200	0.5	0.5	4th Year	
	SHG Related	10	50	1	500	200	1	1.0	4th Year	
5	UG Related	5	50	1	250	200	0.5	0.5	4th Year	"

	Subtotal	67	62	0	11	4569	940				8.38	8.38			
NRN	/i related			·											
1	Land Used Pratises	2	50)	1	10	0	2	200		0.2	0.2		1st Year	By PIA
	Land Used Pratises	2	50)	1	10	0	2	200		0.2	0.2		2nd Year	By PIA
2	Land Used Pratises	2	50)	1	10	0	2	200		0.2	0.2		3rd Year	By PIA
3	Land Used Pratises	2	50)	1	10	0	2	200		0.2	0.2		4th Year	By PIA
	Subtotal	8	20	0	3	480	00				0.8	0.8			
Proc	luction Enhancement re	lated													
1	Production Enhenceme	ent	5	100		1	500)	200		1	1	.0	1st Year	By PIA
	Production Enhenceme	ent	5	100		1	500)	200		1	1	.0	2nd Year	By PIA
2	Production Enhenceme	ent	5	100		1	500)	200		1	1	.0	3rd Year	By PIA
3	Production Enhenceme	ent	5	50		1	250)	200		0.5	0	.5	4th Year	By PIA
	Subtotal		20	350		4	1750	0			3.5	3	.5		
Live	ihoods / Micro-enterpri	ises rela	ted	ſ										1	
	Livelihoods / Micro-	elihoods / Micro-													
1	enterprises	es 5		150		1	750)	200	1.5		1	.5	1st Year	By PIA
	Livelihoods / Micro-	oods / Micro-													
	enterprises		5	150		1 750)	200		1.5	1	.5	2nd Year	By PIA
	Livelihoods / Micro-		_											a 1.1	
2	enterprises		5	100		1	500)	200		1	1	.0	3rd Year	By PIA
2	Livelihoods / Micro-		-	00		1	400		200		0.0		0		
3	enterprises		5 20	80		1	400	,	200	_	0.8	0	.ð 0	4th Year	By PIA
Com	Subiolai	itlamont		480 aing ralata		4	2400	0			4.8	4	.8		
1	Rights & entitlement	luement	2		<u>u</u>	1	88		3/15		0 3036	0.3	036	3rd Vear	By PIA
2	Covergence Related		2	50		1	100)	345		0.3030	0.3	450	4th Year	By PIA
2	Subtotal		<u></u> Д	9 <u>7</u>		<u> </u>	188	, 	545		0.545	0.5	4 <u>30</u> 486	Hirtcar	by HA
For I	PIA / WDT staffs level		-	<u> </u>		2	100	<u> </u>			0.0400	0.0	100		
	Institution and Capacity	v													
1	Building	,	3	40		1	120)	350		0.42	0.	42	2nd Year	By PIA
2	Production Enhenceme	ent	5	30		1	150)	350		0.525	0.5	525	2nd Year	By PIA
3	NRM Related		5	40		1	200)	200		0.4	0	.4	2nd Year	By PIA
4	Exposer Vivit		2	50		1	100)	350		0.35	0.	35	2nd Year	By PIA
	· ·	I			•							I		L	

5	Exposer Vivit	2	40	1	80	350	0.28	0.28	3rd Year	By PIA
6	Outside State Visit	3	50	3	450	450	2.025	2.025	4th Year	By PIA
7	Outside State Visit	3	50	3	450	455	2.0475	2.0475	2nd Year	By DWDU
	Subtotal	23	300	11	1550		6.0475	6.0475		
Othe	ers									
	TOT/CRP/CSP/Related									
1	Enterprise Promotion	4	50	1	200	200	0.4	0.4	2nd Year	By PIA
2	Social Audit	5	50	1	250	350	0.875	0.875	2nd Year	By PIA
3	Gender Equitey	2	40	1	80	200	0.16	0.16	3rd Year	By PIA
4	Enterprise Promotion	4	40	1	160	200	0.32	0.32	5th Year	By PIA
5	GIS Application	8	50	1	400	450	1.8	1.8	1st Year	By DWDU
	Subtotal	23	230	5	1090		3.555	3.555		
	GRAND TOTAL						27.72	27.72		

Chapter-7

Table No. 7.1 Estimated Benefit Cost Ratio

Table No. 7.1: Phasing of the action plan

1	2	3	4	5		6 1 year		7		8		9	10			11
				Unit	1	year	2	nd year	3	rd year	4	th year	5	th year		Total
S. No	Component	Activities	Unit	Cost (Rs.)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Ph y (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Ph y (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Ph y (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Ph y (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)
1	Entr	y Point Activitie	es (2%	6)												
	1	Water Tank			1 No	3.50									1 No.	3.50
	2	Water Tank			1 No	3.48									1 No.	3.48
	3	Water Tank			1 No	4.00									1 No.	4.00
	4	Water Tank			1 No	4.00									1 No.	4.00
	5	Water Tank			1 No	3.50									1 No.	3.50
		Total													5 No.	18.48

2		Institution & Capaci	ty Bui	lding (3	3%)											
1	2	3	4	5		6		7		8		9		10		11
	int			II-sit.	1	l year	2r	^{id} year	3 ¹	^d year	4 t	^h year	5	th year		Total
S. No	Compone	Activities	Unit	Cost (Rs.)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)
	i)	Poor HHs in Watersheds to be covered under SHGs														
		SC	No.													
		ST	No.			170		170		136		102		102		680
		BC	No.													
		OC	No.													
	ii)	Awareness Generation (events) to be conducted	N													
		Pamphlets distribution	NO.													
		Small Crown mostings	No.													
		Others- 1.Mass meeting in Project level	No.	0.30	5	1.50									5	1.50
		2.Mass meeting in MWS level	No.	0.20	5	1.0	2	0.40	3	0.60					10	2.00
		3.Mass meeting in Village level	No.	0.10	13	1.30	2	0.20	4	0.40					19	1.90
	iii)	Formation of UGs	No.												-	
		No. of women	No.													
		No. of men	No.	0.02	15	0.30	10	0.20	10	0.20	5	0.10	5	0.10	45	0.90
	iv)	Formation of SHGs	No.													
		No. of women	No.	0.02	15	0.30	10	0.20	10	0.20	5	0.10	5	0.10	45	0.90
		No. of men	No.													
	v)	Formation of Watershed Committee	No.	0.20	5	1.00									5	1.0
		No. of women														
		No. of men	No.													
	vi)	Regular Meetings to be conducted														
		Watershed Committee	No.	0.08	5	0.40	5	0.40	5	0.40	5	0.40	5	0.40	25	2.0
		UGs/LGs	No.	0.015			30	0.45	10	0.15	5	0.075			45	0.675
		VO/SHGs	No.	0.025			25	0.625	10	0.25	10	0.25	10	0.25	45	1.375
		Gram Panchayat	No.													
	vii)	Registration of WC	No.	0.10	5	0.50									5	0.50

	viii)	Self Monitoring events (planning, review of activities through tool)	No.													
-	ix)	Social Audit events	No.	0.10			5	0.50	5	0.50	5	0.50	5	0.50	20	2.00
	x)	Trainings & Exposures														
	a)	On Institutional & Capacity Building	No. Trgs	0.20	3	0.60	5	1.0	3	0.60	2	0.40	1	0.20	14	2.80
		Women	No.					ļ								
		Men	No.													
	b)	On Gender	No. trgs													
		Women	No.					ļ								
		Men	No.													
	c)	On Natural Resource Management	No. trgs	0.20	2	0.40	5	1.0	5	1.0	2	0.40	1	0.20	15	3.00
		Women	No.													
		Men	No.													
	d)	On Enterprise Promotion (Livelihoods/ Micro- enterprises	No. trgs	0.20	1.0	0.20	3	0.60	2	0.40	3	0.60	1	0.20	10	2.00
		Women	No.													
		Men	No.													
	e)	On Productivity Enhancement	No.	0.20	1	0.20	3	0.60	2	0.40	2	0.40	2	0.40	10	2.00
1	2	3	4	5	I	6		7		8	I	9		10		11
	ent			Unit	1	1 year	2 ⁿ	^{1d} year	3r	^d year	4 t	^h year	5	th year		Total
S. No	Compone	Activities	Unit	Cost (Rs.)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)
			trgs													
		Women	No.													
		Men	No.													
	f)	Exposure Visits	Nos	1.20	1	1.20			1	1.20					2	2.40
		Women	No.													
		Men	No.													
	g)	Participation in Exhibition	No.								[]					
		Women	Nos													
		Men	No.									[
						1 1	•									

1	h)	Seminar & Workshop	No.	0.385		1	0.385			1	0.385	2	0.77
		Women	No.										
		Men	Nos										
Sub 7 Woi		Total IB & CB {Do not sum Men & omen under CB events (a to f)} ¹			8.90		6.56	6.30	3.225		2.735		27.72

3	Pr (1	Productivity Enhancement - Production system & Micro Enterprise (15%)						erprises								
	A)	Agriculture														
		Activitios	Unit	Unit Cost (Rs.)	1	year	2n0	l year	310	l _{year}	4tł	¹ year	51	th year	-	Гotal
		Acuvices			Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)
	i)	Broom	Ha.	0.85 Ha	7.1070	6.041	29.7541	25.291			12.3717	10.516			49.235	41.85
	ii)	Bamboo	На.	0.75 Ha	5.52	4.14					2.286	1.715			7.806	5.855
	iii)	Pineapple	На.	1.95 Ha	i – †		1.5846	3.09	1.5846	3.09					3.169	6.18
	iv)	Arecanut	На.	2.945 Ha					14.7198	43.35	8.4397	24.855			23.15 9	68.205
	v)	Horticulture	Ha.	1.95 Ha	0.954	1.86			2.051	4.00	1.908	3.72			4.913	9.58
	B)	Fisheries														
	i)	Fishery	No.	2 No.									2	6.93	2	6.93
		Total	 			12.041		28.381		50.44		40.806		6.93		138.60
Ent	erp	rise Promotion - Liv	elihoo	d Activit	ies for	Assetless	Poor (1	5%)				<u> </u>				
				Unit Cost	1	voar	200	lvear	ard	lucar	⊿th	voor	-1	th waan	-	Fotal
	ļ		Unit	(Rs.)		year	2110	year	5	• year	4***	year	5	in year		lotai
		Activities	Unit	(Rs.)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy(No)	Fin (Rs. in	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)
	i)	Activities Mushroom Pro. unit	Unit No.	(Rs.)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy(No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No) 1	Fin (Rs. in L) 4.00	Phy (No) 1	Fin (Rs. in L) 4.00	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No) 2	Fin (Rs. in L) 8.00
	i) ii)	Activities Mushroom Pro. unit Weaving	UnitNo.No.	(Rs.)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L) 9.24	Phy(No)	Fin (Rs. in L) 23.10	Phy (No) 1	Fin (Rs. in L) 4.00	Phy (No) 1	Fin (Rs. in L) 4.00	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No) 2 4	Fin (Rs. in L) 8.00 32.34
	i) ii) iii)	Activities Mushroom Pro. unit Weaving Handloom	UnitNo.No.No.	(Rs.)	Phy (No) 1	Fin (Rs. in L) 9.24	Phy(No)	Fin (Rs. in L) 23.10	Phy (No) 1 5	Fin (Rs. in L) 4.00 46.20	Phy (No) 1 2	Fin (Rs. in L) 4.00 18.48	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No) 2 4 7	Fin (Rs. in L) 8.00 32.34 64.68
	i) ii) iii) iv	Activities Mushroom Pro. unit Weaving Handloom Ginger Cultivation	UnitNo.No.No.Ha.	(Rs.)	Phy (No) 1	Fin (Rs. in L) 9.24	Phy(No)	Fin (Rs. in L) 23.10	Phy (No) 1 5 2.69	Fin (Rs. in L) 4.00 46.20 5.24	Phy (No) 1 2 2.0	Fin (Rs. in L) 4.00 18.48 3.90	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No) 2 4 7 4.69	Fin (Rs. in L) 8.00 32.34 64.68 9.14
	i) ii) iii) iv v	Activities Mushroom Pro. unit Weaving Handloom Ginger Cultivation Broom Making	UnitNo.No.Ha.No.	(Rs.)	Phy (No) 1	Fin (Rs. in L) 9.24	Phy(No)	Fin (Rs. in L) 23.10	Phy (No) 1 5 2.69	Fin (Rs. in L) 4.00 46.20 5.24	Phy (No) 1 2 2.0 3	Fin (Rs. in L) 4.00 18.48 3.90 10.62	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L) 9.24	Phy (No) 2 4 7 4.69 7	Fin (Rs. in L) 8.00 32.34 64.68 9.14 19.86
	i) iii) iii) iv v vi	Activities Mushroom Pro. unit Weaving Handloom Ginger Cultivation Broom Making Cycle & Bike Repairing	UnitNo.No.Ha.No.No.No.	(Rs.)	Phy (No) 1	Fin (Rs. in L) 9.24	Phy(No)	Fin (Rs. in L) 23.10	Phy (No) 1 5 2.69	Fin (Rs. in L) 4.00 46.20 5.24	Phy (No) 1 2 2.0 3 1	Fin (Rs. in L) 4.00 18.48 3.90 10.62 1.58	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L) 9.24	Phy (No) 2 4 7 4.69 7 1	Fin (Rs. in L) 8.00 32.34 64.68 9.14 19.86 1.58
	i) iii) ivv v vi vii	Activities Mushroom Pro. unit Weaving Handloom Ginger Cultivation Broom Making Cycle & Bike Repairing Fruit processing	UnitNo.No.Ha.No.No.No.No.	(Rs.)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L) 9.24	Phy(No)	Fin (Rs. in L) 23.10	Phy (No) 1 5 2.69	Fin (Rs. in L) 4.00 46.20 5.24	Phy (No) 1 2 2.0 3 1 1	Fin (Rs. in L) 4.00 18.48 3.90 10.62 1.58 3.00	9 Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L) 9.24	Phy (No) 2 4 7 4.69 7 1 1	Fin (Rs. in L) 8.00 32.34 64.68 9.14 19.86 1.58 3.00

5	Na	tural Resource Manager														
	I	Soil and Moisture Cons Structures	ervati	on	1 ye	ear	2no	l _{year}	3rd	year	4th	year	5th	year	Тс	otal
			Unit	Unit Cost (Rs.)	Phy(No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No/ Ha)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No/ Ha)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No/ Ha)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No/ Ha)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No/ Ha)	Fin (Rs. in L)
	а	*Nala bund	Rm	0.0355	1549.29	5.50	563.38 Rm	2.0	2114.78 Rm	7.5075					4227.45	15.0075
	В	*Land Development	На	3.0			1.5 Ha	4.50							1.5 Ha	4.50
	С	*Bench Terracing	На	2.55	2.124 ha	5.4175	3.860 Ha	9.845	2.84	7.2401			2.08	5.3276	10.91	27.8302
	b	Others - *Brick Channel	Rm	0.045	749.44	33.725	571.66	25.725	522.20	23.50					1421ha	82.95
	II	Water Harvesting Stru	ctures													
	а	Safe disposal unit	No.	9.0	1 No.	9.0									1 No.	9.0
	b	*RCC Check dams	No	5.66	2 Nos.	12.0	1 no.	5.0							3 Nos.	17.0
	С	*Water Harvesting	No.	8.0	1 No.	8.0	1 No.	8.0	1 No.	8.0					3 Nos.	24.0
	D	*Water Storage Tank with pipe line	No.	9.833	2 Nos.	22.0			1 No.	7.50					3 Nos.	29.50
	E	*Water Reservoir	No.	6.0			1 No.	6.0							1 No.	6.0
	f	*Pond	No.	3.514	5 Nos.	16.48	3 Nos.	10.65	3 Nos.	10.65	3 Nos.	10.98	2 Nos.	7.23	17 Nos.	56.0
	g	*Percolation Tank	No.	2.0	6 Nos.	12.0	5 Nos.	10.0	1 No.	2.0					12 Nos	24.0

4	2	0		-				-		0		0		10		11
I	Ζ	3	4	5	1	0		/ nd	2	8 rd	41	9 th	-	10 th		
-				Uni	1	year		liu year	3	i u year	4	in year	5	u year		al
S. No	Component	Activities	Activities (Rs		Phy (No/ Ha)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Ph y (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)						
	v	Land Development (Pro)													
	а	*Drainage Channel with Boulder	Rm.	0.75			173.3	13.0							173.3	13.0
	b	*Drainage Channel	Rm.	0.075			120	9.00							120	9.00
	с	*Bamboo Plantation	Ha.	0.75	1.51	1.1375	9.56	7.175	3.73	2.8	3.26	2.45	2.56	3.0625	21.98	16.625
	D	*Broom Plantation	На.	0.85			2.74	2.33125	7.65	6.50535	4.65	3.95535	6.15	5.23035	21.20	18.0225
	Е	Arecanut	Ha.	2.945	5.57	16.4024	5.03	14.8075	3.29	9.6936	2.02	5.9725	1.67	4.908	17.58	51.785
	F	Rubber	Ha.	1.25			2.0	2.5			2.0	2.5	2.0	2.5	6.0	7.50
	G	Horticulture	На.	1.95							1.05	2.0425	1.05	2.0425	2.094	4.085
	Н	Assam Lemon	На.	1.95									0.576	1.1248	0.576	1.1248
	Ι	*Earthen Checkdam	No.	1.0			1 No.	3.0							1 No.	3.0
	J	*Earthen Channel	Rm	0.003 55	1409	5.0	1014	7.10							3408	12.10
	К	*Contour Bunding	Rm	0.015			150	2.25							150	2.25
		Sub Total of NRM:														434.28
6	Nat (2%	tural Resource Managem %)	ince													

I	Maintenance of Natural Resources Related Assets U		Unit Cost (Rs.)	1	year	2n	d _{year}	310	l _{year}	4th	ı year	5t	h _{year}]	ſotal
				Phy	Fin (Rs.	Phy	Fin (Rs. in	Phy	Fin (Rs.	Phy	Fin (Rs.	Phy	Fin (Rs.	Phy	Fin (Rs.
				(NO)	in LJ	(NO)	LJ	(NO)	in Lj	(NO)	In LJ	(NO)	In LJ	(NO)	In LJ
а	Meeting with the members ofMAC/VDC alongwith PRI members	No.	0.10	5	0.60	5	0.60	5	0.60	5	0.60			20	2.40
b	Preparation of over all ProjectDevelopment Plan	No.	0.125	1	0.125	1	0.125	1	0.125	1	0.125			4	0.50
С	Meeting for Annual Audit under Budgeting with MWS andPRI members	No.	0.10			5	0.50	5	0.50	5	0.50			15	1.50
d	Departmental Exhibition	No.	0.50	1	0.50	1	0.50	1	0.50	1	0.50			4	2.00
II	# Water Budgeting, Management/ Regulatory Norms and Governance														
а	Ground Water Monitoring (twice a year)	No.	0.20	10	2.00	10	2.00	10	2.00	10	2.00			40	8.00

1	2	3	4	5		6		7		8		9		10		11
	ıt			Unit		l year	2 nd	year	3 rd	year	4 th	year	5 ^t	^h year	r	Гotal
S. No	Componer	Activities	Unit	Cost (Rs.)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs. in L)
	III	Protection and Regulation/ Regeneration of Common Lands (For the protection of the upper reaches of the watershed slopes)														
	а	Meeting with Departmental Officers & staff of Forest, Agriculture etc. for protection & regeneration/ regulation in upper reaches of the watershed slope.	No.	0.50	10	0.50	10	0.50	10	0.50	10	0.50			40	2.00
	b	Formation of User's Group & Mobility	No.	0.104	5	0.52	5	0.52	5	0.52	5	0.52			20	2.08
		Sub Total of NRM Governance :				4.245		4.745		4.745		4.745				18.48

7		Administration (10%)							
	а	WCDC Level	Rs.	0.148	0.148	0.148	0.148	0.148	0.74
	b	PIA / WDT Level.							
		1)Honorarium/Specialist/ wages to temporary PIA staff	Rs.	0.46680	0.46680	0.46680	0.46680	0.4668	2.334
		2) T.A/D.A.	Rs.	0.37352	0.37352	0.37352	0.37352	0.37352	1.8676
		3) Office Contingencies.	Rs.	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	3.00
		4) Pol	Rs.	0.33368	0.33368	0.33368	0.33368	0.33368	1.6684
	С	WC / Village Level							
		1) Honorarium to village level Workers	Rs.	0.45880	0.45880	0.45880	0.45880	0.4588	2.294
		2) Honorarium/Salary to Secy.	Rs.	0.45880	0.45880	0.45880	0.45880	0.4588	2.294
		3) Office contingencies.	Rs.	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	2.00
		4) T.A/D.A.	Rs.	0.45640	0.45640	0.45640	0.45640	0.45640	2.282
		Total		3.696	3.696	3.696	3.696	3.696	18.48
8		Monitoring Cost (1%)							
	а	Monitoring	Rs.		2.31	2.31	2.31	2.31	9.24
		Sub Total of Monitoring			2.31	2.31	2.31	2.31	9.24
9		Evaluation (1%)							
	А	Evaluation	Rs.		2.31	2.31	2.31	2.31	9.24
		Sub Total of Evaluation			2.31	2.31	2.31	2.31	9.24
10		DPR (1%)							
	а	DPR Preparation	Rs.	9.24					9.24
		Sub Total of DPR		9.24					9.24
11		Consolidation (3%)							
	а	Consolidation	Rs.					27.72	27.72
		Sub Total of Consolidation						27.72	27.72
		Grand Total (sum of all sub-totals 1to 11)							924.00

Table No. 7.2 Estimated Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) Image: Cost Ratio (BCR)

S. No.	Name of the activity	Total Cost(Rs. in L)	Total Benefit expected * (Rs. in L)	BCR	Remarks
1	Entry Point Activity (EPA)	18.48	24.024	1:1.3	-
2	Natural Resource Management (NRM)	434.28	586.278	1:1.3	-
3	Natural Resource Management Governance	18.48	23.8392	1:1.2	-
4	Production System & Micro Enterprises	138.60	194.04	1:1.4	-
5	Livelihood for Asset less	138.60	194.04	1:1.4	-
6	Institution and Capacity building	27.72	36.036	1:1.3	-
7	Others	147.84	192.192	1:1.3	-

*kindly relate this with table no. 9.2 (expected outcomes)

Chapter 8 Consolidation and completion of various works **Table No. 8.1: Consolidation of Action Plan**

CHAPTER - 9

1	2		In	nplement	ation Ph	ase			Conso n/exit	lidatio Phase	Total	
S. No	Component	1 y	vear	2 nd	year	3 rd ,	year	4 th	year	5 th y	year	Fin
		Phy (No)	Fin (Rs.)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs.)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs.)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs.)	Phy (No)	Fin (Rs.)	
1	Entry Point Activities (2%)		18.48		0.0		0.0		0.0			18.48
2	DPR Preparation by PIA(1%)		9.24		0.0		0.0		0.0			9.24
2	Institution & Capacity Building (3%) SLNA-0.3% DWDU-0.9% PIA-3.8%		13.86		4.62		4.62		2.31		2.31	27.72
3	Productivity Enhancement (13%)		9.24		27.72		55.44		39.270		6.930	138.60
4	Livelihoods for Assetless (10%)		9.24		23.10		55.44		41.580		9.24	138.60
5	Natural Resource Management (50 %)		147.84		147.84		87.780		27.72		23.10	434.28
	NRM (Governance) (2%)		4.62		4.62		4.62		4.62			18.48
6	Monitoring (1%)				2.31		2.31		2.31		2.31	9.24
7	Evaluation (1 %)				2.31		2.31		2.31		2.31	9.24
8	Consolidation phase (3%)										27.72	27.72
9	Administration (10 %)		18.48		18.48		18.48		18.48		18.48	92.40
	Total		231.0		231.0		231.0		138.6		92.4	924

EXPECTED OUTCOMES

9.1 Describe in detail the "Expected Outcomes" Table No. 9.2: Summarize in the table given below (Quantifiable indicators)

1	2		3	4	5	6
S.	Item		Unit of	Pre-project Status	Expected Post-project	Remarks
No.			measurement		Status	
1	Status of	water table (Depth to Ground water	Meters	16-18	13-15/as same	
	level)					
2	Ground v	vater structures repaired/ rejuvenated	No.			
3	Quality o	f drinking water	Description	Deplorable Condition	Good	
4	Availabilit	cy of drinking water	Description	Scare (Ring Well/ Open	Sufficient (Ring well/	
				Well/ Stream)	Tube Well/ Water	
					Reserver)	
5	Increase	in irrigation potential	Hec.	25.0	45.0	
6	Change i	n cropping/ land use pattern	Description	Single Cropping	Double Cropping	
7	Area und	er agricultural crop				
	I Area under single crop		Hec.	1454.19	1550	
	Ii	Area under double crop	Hec.		180	
	iii	Area under multiple crop	Hec.		75	
8	Net incre	ase in crop production area	Hec.	1454.19	1605	
9	Increase	in area under Vegetation/Forest	Hec.	60	150	
10	Increase	in area under horticulture	Hec.	15	80	
11	Increase	in area under fuel	Hec.		10	
12	Increase	in area under Fodder	Hec.			
13	Increase	in milk production	Litres/day	125	175	
14	Environm	ental Impact				
	Change i	n Soil Loss				
	Perennial	ity of flow and change in Run-off				
	Recharge	e of ground water				
14	No. of SH	IGs Promoted	No.	18	45	
15	Increase	in no. of livelihoods	No.	205	812	
			102			

16	Increase in income	Rs.	10000/Month	150000/Month		
17	Status of Migration	No.	207	50		
18	SHG Federations formed	No.				
19	Credit linkage with banks	Rs.				
20	Resource use agreements					
21	WDF collection & management	Rs.				
22	Summary of lessons learnt	Description				

Table No.9.3: Backward and Forward Linkages

5		6	7
The state of Manhatian Fasility			Expected post project
l ype of Marketing Facility	Name of the Institution	Pre-project (no.)	status
(A) Backward linkages			
(i) Seed certification	NIL	NIL	NIL
(ii) Seed supply system	NIL	NIL	NIL
(iii) Fertilizer supply system	NIL	NIL	NIL
(iv) Pesticide supply system	NIL	NIL	NIL
(v) Credit institutions	NIL	NIL	NIL
(vi) Water supply	NIL	NIL	NIL
(vii) Extension services	NIL	NIL	NIL
(viii) Nurseries	NIL	NIL	NIL
(ix) Tools/machinery suppliers	NIL	NIL	NIL
(x) Price Support system	NIL	NIL	NIL
(xi) Labour	NIL	NIL	NIL
(xii) Any other (please specify)	NIL	NIL	NIL
(B) Forward linkages			
(i) Harvesting/threshing machinery	NIL	NIL	NIL
(ii) Storage (including cold storage)	NIL	NIL	NIL
(iii) Road network	NIL	NIL	NIL
(iv) Transport facilities	NIL	NIL	NIL
(v) Markets / Mandis	NIL	NIL	NIL
(vi) Agro and other Industries	NIL	NIL	NIL
(vii) Milk and other collection centres	NIL	NIL	NIL
(viii) Labour	NIL	NIL	NIL
(ix) Any other (please specify)	NIL	NIL	NIL

ANNEXURE-II

SDG Format

Г	Tatal Target Area to be treated during implementation of Project under WDC-PMKSY 2.0							
District:- Karbi Anglong								
Project:- Karbi Anglong WDC-2/2021-22 (Kantilangso) WDC-PMKSY 2.0								
S1.	l. o Component	List of Activities (As per 5 year Action Plan)		Total Treatable				
No		Name of Activities	Location	benefitted (Ha.)				
1	NRM Activities:-	Water Harvesting	Horchot Taro	91.60 Ha.				
2		Brick Channel		98.26 Ha.				
3		Nala Bund		71.50 Ha.				
4		Broom Plantation		9.50 Ha.				
5		Bamboo Plantation		5.46 Ha.				
6		Bench Terracing		30.00 Ha.				
7		Brick Channel	Jeng Ronghang	95.14 Ha.				
8		Pond		83.825 Ha.				
9		Percolation Tank		29.704 Ha.				
10		Bamboo Plantation		3.66 Ha.				
11		Bench Terracing		36.398Ha.				
12		Arecanut		1.00 Ha.				
13		Pond	Jonasing Killing	65.35 Ha.				
14		Percolation Tank		37.45 Ha.				
15		Arecanut		4.08 Ha.				
16		Bamboo Plantation		5.23 Ha.				
17		Water Reservoir		109.86 Ha.				
18		Brick Channel		105.039На.				
19		Bamboo Plantation	Sonapur	2.68 Ha.				
20		Pond	Mojari Tisso	45.71 Ha.				
21		Bamboo Plantation	Pok-et Bey	2.68 Ha.				
22		Safe Disposal	Bajin Tokbi	92.14 Ha.				
23		Percolation Tank		14.28 Ha.				
24		Brick Channel		100.86 Ha.				
25		RCC Check Dam		105.28 Ha.				
26		Earthen Check Dam		90.21 Ha.				
27		Drainage Channel with Boulder		106.42Ha.				
28		Pond		14.28 Ha.				
29		Nala Bund		25.39 Ha.				

30	NRM Activities:-	Water Storage Tank with		
20		pipe line	Chakra Bey	95.71 Ha.
31		Percolation Tank		7.14 Ha.
32		Brick Channel		82.14 Ha.
33		Bench Terracing		7.83 Ha.
34		Earthen Channel		82.85 Ha.
35		Broom Plantation		5.26 Ha.
36		Brick Channel	Mekve Engleng	92.28 Ha.
37		Percolation Tank		14.28 Ha.
38		Pond		35.35 Ha.
39		Staggered Trenching		56.10 Ha.
40		Water Reservoir		86.6634 Ha.
41		Broom Plantation		1.50 Ha.
42		Pond	Jeng Rongpi	10.71 Ha.
43		Percolation Tank		28.56 Ha.
44		Water Reservoir with pipe		97.016 H
		line		87.216 Ha.
45		Water Harvesting with		70 426 11-
		Drainage Channel		79.436 Ha.
46		Brick Channel		92.10 Ha.
47		Nala Bund		17.54 Ha.
48		Arecanut	Thang Teron	1.00 Ha.
49		Pond		12.50 Ha.
50		Broom Plantation		2.00 Ha.
51		Bamboo Plantation	Mulajan	1.00 Ha.
52		Arecanut	Sarthe Rongpi	1.25 Ha.
53		Broom Plantation		1.00 Ha.
54		Arecanut	Habe Kro	1.00 Ha.
55		Broom Plantation		1.00 Ha.
56		Broom Plantation	Bura Phangcho	1.00 Ha.
57		Broom Plantation	Angjok Tokbi	1.00Ha.
58		RCC Check Dam with Brick Channel	Kabuli Ronghang	83.28 Ha.
59		Nala Bund		25.14 Ha.
60		Arecanut		1.00 Ha.
61		Land Development		85.07 Ha
62		Percolation Tank		7.14 Ha.
63		Bamboo Plantation		4.00 Ha.
64		Pond	Sarso Bey	14.28 Ha.
65		Arecanut	Bhim Teron	1.00 Ha.
66		Brick Channel	Kania Bey	94.14 Ha.
67		Bench Terracing	-	25.35 Ha.
68		Pond		22.75 Ha.

NB: C. Total Target Area to be Treated= Project Treatable Area						
Total		C=A+R		3300.00		
28		Total (R)		96.2326		
20		Arecanut	Kangnek Tokhi	1.00 Ha		
25		Horticulture		1 908 Ha		
24 25		nineannle	Nonakabat	2.00 Ha.		
23		Areconut	Каша веу	0.0490 Ha.		
22		Arecallul Droom Diantation	Sarso Bey	1.00 Ha.		
21		Arecanut	Dillill Teron	1.00 Ha.		
20		Arecanut	Dhim Tarar	2.00 Ha.		
19		Broom Plantation	Kabuli Konghang	4.529 Ha.		
18		Broom		1.00 Ha.		
1/		Arecanut		2.00 Ha.		
10		Horticulture	Jonasing Killing	2.00 Ha.		
15		Arecanut	Longer Vill'	2.00 Ha.		
14		Aroconut	Спакга Веу	0.529 Ha.		
13		Proom Diantation	Chalma Davi	20.125 Ha.		
12		Fishery		2.00 Ha.		
11		Areconut	Бајш ТОКОГ			
10		Arecallul Broom Plantation	Bajin Takhi	1.00 Па. 6 520Цо		
9 10		Areconut	ruk-et Dey			
0		Areconut	Niojari Tisso Doly of Poy	1.40 Ha.		
/		Arecenut	Mojeri Ticco	1.00 Ha.		
0		Arecallul Droom Diantation		2.00 Ha.		
5		Areconut	Jonashig Kinnig	1.00 Ha.		
4 5		Horticulture	Ionasing Killing	1 00 Ho		
<u>з</u> л				0.329 Ha.		
2		Broom Diantation	Jang Donghang	<u>2.00 па</u> 6 520 Но		
2	(Land Based Activities)			2.00 Ha		
1	Production System	Broom Plantation	Horchot Taro	9 53 Ha		
01		Total (A)		3203 7674		
81		Bamboo Plantation	Kangnek Tokhi	1 00 Ha		
80		Brick Channel		86 20 Ha		
70		Horticulture		23.30 Ha.		
70		Nolo Pund		10.35 на. 25.50 Цо		
70		Contour Bunding		10.11 на. 10.52 Цо		
75		RCC Check Dalli Danah Tarraaina		оо.30 Ha.		
74		BCC Check Dom		87.83 Ha.		
75		Arecanut	Nopakgnat	5.25 Ha.		
72		Water Harvesting		86.42 Ha.		
/1		Arecanut		2.00 Ha.		
70		Rubber Plantation		3.00 Ha.		
= 0						

List of Maps to be enclosed along with DPR.

- 1. Location Map
- 2. Village Map
- 3. MWS Map
- 4. Drainage Map
- 5. Hydrological Map
- 6. Spring shed Map
- 7. Propose site Map
- 8. Contour Map
- 9. LULC Map
- 10. Slope Map
- 11. Composite Satellite Imagery Map
- 12. DEM Map
- 13. Topographic Map
- 14. Stream Order Map
- **15. Flow Accumulation Map**
- 16. Crop Suitability Map
- 17. Drainage Density Map






















1. Spring Information of the Project Area :-

A. Basic Information

- a. Number of Springs Identified 5 Nos.
- b. Number of Springs brought under Monitoring Purpose- 2 Nos.
- c. Number of Springs Hydorlogically Mapped- 5 Nos.
- d. Number of Springs Selected for Revival under the Project- 5 Nos.

B. Baseline :-

	Location of the Springheads				Water Quality			Туре
Name of the Springs	Name of Village/ Locality of the Springheads	Latitude	Longitude	Elevation amsl *	рН	TDS ppm \$	Salts ppm	(Contact/ Fracture/ Depression/ combination)
Cheksolangso	Mekwe Engleng	26.27214	93.0683	270.49±24m	7.79	10.09	N/A	Depression
Ingkulangso	Jeng Rongpi	26.27207	93.07015	284.03±48m	7.59	10.01	N/A	Depression
Kantilangso	Bajin Tokbi	26.28301	93.0946	333.54±6m	7.98	6.03	N/A	Depression
Langserser	Chakra Bey	26.27753	93.08126	265.1±7m	7.74	8.06	N/A	Depression
Langlongku	Jeng Ronghang	26.27373	93.09421	333.51±5m	7.92	6.09	N/A	Depression

* Height above mean sea level (AMSL)

Liter per Minute (lpm)

\$ TDS - total dissolved solids; parts per million (ppm) or milligrams per liter of water (mg/L).

- 2. Recharge Area Information against each Springs (Information separately and cumulative for the Springs taken for revival):
 - a. Area of the Recharge Area (ha) :- 1. Cheksolangso Spring = 28 Ha.
 - 2. Ingkulangso Spring = 15 Ha.
 - 3. Kantilangso Spring = 12 Ha.
 - 4. Langserser Spring = 22 Ha.
 - 5. Langlongku Spring = 14 Ha.
 - b. LULC Classification of Recharge Area (ha) = LULC Classification as per GIS Maps
 - c. No. of Family and Population residing in the Recharge Area:-
 - 1. Cheksolangso Spring = NIL
 - 2. Ingkulangso Spring = NIL
 - 3. Kantilangso Spring = NIL
 - 4. Langserser Spring = NIL
 - 5. Langlongku Spring = NIL
 - d. Annual Water Requirement of the Population of the recharge area:- Does not arise.

HYDROLOGICAL MEASUREMENTS:-

A. **Spring Discharge**

Name of Spring	Dates of Data Taken	Volume of Water (V) in Litre	Time Taken (T) in Sec	Discharge $Q = V \times 60 t$ (litre/min.	Remarks
Cheksolangso	09-05-2022	9 Litre	60 Sec.	9.00/60x60 =9.001pm	
Ingkulangso	09-05-2022	12 Litre	60 Sec.	12.00/60x60 =12.00lpm	
Kantilangso	09-05-2022	7 litre	60 Sec.	7.00/60x60 =6.99 say 7.001mp	
Langserser	09-05-2022	12 Litre	60 Sec.	12.00/60x60 =12.001pm	
Langlongku	09-05-2022	5 Litre	60 Sec.	5.00/60x60 =4.99 say 5.00lpm	

i) Recording Dhara Discharge

ii)

Recording Surface Flow Discharge

Name of Spring	Dates of Data Taken	Velocity (v) of water (cm/sec)	Area of cross section (A) (cm3)	Discharge Q= 60xAxv 1000 (litre/min.	Remarks
Cheksolangso	10/05/2022	210.00cm/5sec. =42.00cm/sec.	72.00x3.50 =252.00cm ²	252.00x42.00x60/1000 =635.04lmp	
Ingkulangso	10/05/2022	250.00cm/5sec =50.00cm/Sec.	75.00x3.50 =262.50cm ²	262.50x50.00x60/1000 =787.50lmp	
Kantilangso	10/05/2022	189.00cm/5sec. =37.80cm/sec.	85.00x4.50 =382.50cm ²	382.50x37.80x60/1000 =867.511mp	
Langserser	10/05/2022	250.00cm/5sec =50.00cm/Sec.	70.00x4.50 =315.00cm ²	315.00x50.00x60/1000 =945.00lmp	
Langlongku	10/05/2022	110.00cm/5sec =22.00cm/Sec.	35.00x2.50 =87.50cm ²	87.00x15.00x60/1000 =78.30lmp	

Photographs of Springshed Discharge Area

